arXiv:1610.09711v1 [math.NT] 30 Oct 2016

SLOPES OF OVERCONVERGENT HILBERT MODULAR FORMS VIA THE JACQUET-LANGLANDS CORRESPONDENCE

CHRISTOPHER BIRKBECK

Abstract. We use results by Chenevier to interpolate the classical Jacquet-Langlands correspondence for Hilbert modular forms, which gives us an extension of Chenevier's results to totally real fields. From this we obtain isomorphisms between eigenvarieties attached Hilbert modular forms and those attached to modular forms on a totally definite quaternion algebra over a totally real field of even degree. We then use this to compute slopes of Hilbert modular forms near the centre and near the boundary of the weight space. Near the boundary of the weight space we give some evidence that the slopes are given by unions of arithmetic progressions and a conjectural recipe for generating the slopes in the cases we have computed.

Introduction

The idea of modular forms living in p-adic families began with Serre [Ser73] who considered p-adic limits of compatible families of q-expansions of modular forms. This was the starting point for a vast theory. The work of Serre was then formalized by Katz [Kat73], who reformulated these ideas into a more geometric context and showed that the p-adic families were in fact part of a wider range of p-adic objects. After this, Dwork studied the action of the U_p operator on these spaces and showed it is a compact operator, thus giving us a way to study these spaces in much more detail. Then Hida, in a series of papers in the 1980's, showed that the space of p-ordinary eigenforms (which means that their U_p eigenvalue is a p-adic unit) has rank independent of the weight of the modular forms, from which it follows that these p-ordinary modular forms actually form a p-adic family. This was then extended by Coleman-Mazur and Buzzard [CM98, Buz07] to finite slope eigenform (which means the U_p eigenvalue is not 0), by constructing a geometric objects which they called eigencurves or more generally eigenvarieties. These are rigid analytic varieties which parametrize all such modular forms of a fixed level and their points correspond to systems of Hecke eigenvalues of finite slope overconvergent modular forms. In [Buz07], Buzzard creates an eigenvariety machine, which can be used to construct eigenvarieties by inputting a weight space and some suitable Banach modules together with an action of a Hecke algebra. More generally, one can use the work of Ash-Stevens [AS97] and Urban [Urb11] who, among others, have used overconvergent cohomology groups to construct eigenvarieties associated to a large class of reductive groups.

As a result of these more general constructions, it is possible to construct eigenvarieties associated to spaces of quaternionic modular forms. Now the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence tells us (roughly) that the classical spaces of quaternionic modular forms $S_k^D(N)$ of weight k and level N on a quaternion algebra D of discriminant $\mathfrak{d}$ are isomorphic as Hecke modules to the spaces $S_k^{\mathfrak{d}\text{-new}}(N\mathfrak{d})$ of $\mathfrak{d}$-new modular forms for GL_2. One can then ask if this extends to families of modular forms, i.e., if we can use this to relate the eigenvariety $\mathscr{X}_D$ coming from these quaternionic modular forms, to the eigenvariety $\mathscr{X}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}$ coming from the usual spaces of modular forms. Over $\mathbb{Q}$, this was answered by Chenevier in [Che05], who showed that there is a closed

immersion $\mathscr{X}_D \hookrightarrow \mathscr{X}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}$ which interpolates the classical Jacquet-Langlands correspondence[1]. This result is an instance of what is now called p-adic Langlands functionality. Other examples of this can be found in work of Hansen [Han], Ludwig [Lud14], and Newton [New13].

Going back to the result of Chenevier, if one picks $D/\mathbb{Q}$ to be a totally definite quaternion algebra, then the spaces of overconvergent quaternionic modular forms are very easy to define and work with since there is much simpler geometry involved. This means that if one is interested in computing the action of the U_p operator on the space of overconvergent modular forms, then it is possible to reduce to computing this on spaces of overconvergent quaternionic modular forms. This is the strategy used in [LWX, WXZ14]. Our first goal is to extend the results by Chenevier to a totally real field F. In particular, we have the following theorem.

Theorem. *Let D be a totally definite quaternion algebra, with discriminant $\mathfrak{d}$, defined over a totally real field F. Let p be a rational (unramified) prime and $\mathfrak{n}$ an integral ideal of F such that $p \nmid \mathfrak{nd}$ and $(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{d}) = 1$. Let $\mathscr{X}_D(\mathfrak{n}p)$ be the eigenvariety of level $\mathfrak{n}p$ attached to quaternionic modular forms on D; similarly, let $\mathscr{X}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}(\mathfrak{nd}p)$ denote the eigenvariety associated to cuspidal Hilbert modular forms of level $\mathfrak{nd}p$ (with the associated moduli problem being representable) as constructed in* [AIPc].

Then there is a closed immersion $\iota_D : \mathscr{X}_D^{red}(\mathfrak{n}p) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{X}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}^{red}(\mathfrak{nd}p)$ which interpolates the classical Jacquet-Langlands correspondence, where $\mathscr{X}^{red}$ denotes the nilreduction of $\mathscr{X}$. In particular, when $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even, one can choose D with $\mathfrak{d} = 1$ so that the above is an isomorphism between the corresponding eigenvarieties and the eigenvarieties are reduced, meaning $\mathscr{X}^{red} \cong \mathscr{X}$.

Remark. Similar results can most likely be obtained by using similar ideas as in [HIS10, Han, New13]. More generally, using overconvergent cohomology one can uses these ideal to obtain an analogous result for any quaternion algebra D, but in this case we do not have the eigenvariety constructed by [AIPc], but one closer to the ones constructed by [Urb11, Han]. See Remark 5.1.23.

Our second goal is to use this result to study of the p-adic valuation of the U_p eigenvalues (called the *slopes*). In the case of modular forms over $\mathbb{Q}$ this question has received a lot of attention recently, with a focus on studying slopes of overconvergent modular forms as they move in p-adic families. To make this more precise, consider the Iwasawa algebra $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathbb{Z}_p^\times]]$ and let $\mathcal{W}$ be the associated rigid analytic space, which is called the *weight space*. It then follows that elements of $\mathcal{W}(\mathbb{C}_p)$ are just continuous homomorphisms $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p^\times$, which are called *weights*. In particular, if we write $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \cong \Delta \times (1 + q\mathbb{Z}_p)$ where Δ is the torsion subgroup and where $q = p$ if p is odd and $q = 4$ for $p = 2$, then taking a Dirichlet character ψ of Δ and the character z^k of $1 + q\mathbb{Z}_p$ sending $z \mapsto z^k$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get an element of the weight space given by $z^k\psi$. The weights of the form z^k are called algebraic and weights of the form $z^k\psi$ are called arithmetic. If we now take γ a fixed topological generator of $1 + q\mathbb{Z}_p$, and let $w(\kappa) = \kappa(\gamma) - 1$ for κ a weight, then the algebraic weights are in the region of the weight space such that $v_p(w(\kappa)) \geq 1$ (for p odd) called the centre, and the arithmetic weights $z^k\psi$ (for ψ sufficiently ramified) are on the boundary where $v_p(w(\kappa)) \leq \frac{1}{p-1}$ (again for p odd[2]). The reason we make such a distinction is that there seems to be very different behaviour of the slopes of the U_p operator acting on weight κ modular forms depending on where in the weight space κ lives, as we shall see later.

[1] In general Chenevier proves that one gets a isomorphism onto the $\mathfrak{d}$-new "part" of the eigenvariety.

[2] For $p = 2$ the centre is where $v_2(-) \geq 3$ and the boundary where $v_2(-) < 3$.

Over $\mathbb{Q}$, the behaviour of the slopes of U_p was first studied by Gouvéa-Mazur in [GM92] where they conjectured that if k_1, k_2 are large enough with $k_1 \equiv k_2 \mod p^n(p-1)$ for $n \geq \alpha$ for some rational number α, then the dimension of the space of modular forms of weight k_1 and slope α should be the same as that of weight k_2 and slope α. Following this, Buzzard, Calegari, Jacobs, Kilford and Roe (among others) computed slopes of modular forms for weights both in the centre and boundary of the weight space. In particular, in [Buz05] Buzzard computed slopes in many cases and was able to make precise conjectures about their behaviour. Very little is know about the slopes near the centre of weight space and the geometry of the eigenvariety is expected to be much more complicated. Results about slopes in this case can be found in [BC05, BP16].

Near the boundary Buzzard–Kilford, Jacobs and Roe were among the first to give evidence that the sequence of slopes appear as a union of arithmetic sequences with common difference. This then implies that over the boundary of the weight space the eigenvariety looks like a countable union of annuli. For $p = 2, 3$ and trivial tame level this was proven by Buzzard-Kilford and Roe in [BK05, Roe14]. For more details on the precise conjectures and their implications, see [BGar]. More generally, the recent work of Liu-Wan-Xiao and Wan-Xiao-Zhang in [LWX, WXZ14] have proven similar results by working with quaternion algebras and using Chenevier's results mentioned above. In particular, they have defined "integral models" for these spaces of modular forms, and then used these to show that over the boundary of weight space the eigenvariety associated to a totally definite quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ is the disjoint union of countably many annuli. The existence and construction of these integral models is a very active area of research (see for example[AIPb, AIPa, BPar, BP16, BGar, JN16]). The study of the geometry of eigenvarieties has many number theoretical applications, in particular, Pottharst and Xiao in [PX14] have recently reduced the parity conjecture of Selmer ranks for modular forms to a similar statement about the geometry of the eigenvariety.

For overconvergent modular forms over $\mathbb{Q}$ we have the following conjecture for the behaviour of the Newton polygon and the slopes of U_p. This conjecture can be found in [LWX, BPar].

Conjecture. *For κ a weight, let $s_1(\kappa), s_2(\kappa), \ldots$ denote the slopes of the Newton polygon of U_p acting on the spaces of overconvergent modular forms of weight κ and fixed level. Let $NP_\kappa(U_p)$ be the Newton polygon of $\det(1 - XU_p)$. Then there exists an $r > 0$ depending only on the component $\mathcal{W}_\psi$ of the weight space containing κ, such that*

- (a) *For $\kappa \in \mathcal{W}_\psi$ such that $0 < v_p(w(\kappa)) < r$, $NP_\kappa(U_p)$ depends only on $v_p(w(\kappa))$. Moreover, for weights in this component, the break points of the Newton polygon are independent of κ.*
- (b) *The sequence $\{s_i(\kappa)/v_p(w(\kappa))\}$ is a finite union of arithmetic progressions (after possibly removing a finite number of terms), which is independent of κ for $0 < v_p(w(\kappa)) < r$.*
- (c) *Assuming (a) above, the set of slopes $s_i(\kappa)$ are given by*

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(S_{seed} + i \cdot \frac{|\Delta|}{2} \right),$$

where S_{seed} is a fixed finite set[3], which only depends on the number of cusps of $X_0(N)$ and the classical slopes in weight 2 at different components of the weight space[4].

[3]Here the notation is such that if S is a set of slopes and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then we let $S + i$ denote the set, where we add i to each slope in S.

[4]This was shown to follow from (a) by Bergdall-Pollack in [BPar].

Our goal here is to give computational evidence for a similar structure to the slopes of overconvergent Hilbert modular forms (in particular part (c) above) and prove a lower bound for the Newton polygon of $\det(1 - XU_p)$. We compute explicit examples of sequences of slopes of the U_p operator by using the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence. Throughout, we work with arithmetic weights both in the centre of the weight space and near the boundary. The reason we only do this for arithmetic weights is only for simplicity and these results can most certainly be extended to any weight.

Our computations show that, for κ near the boundary of the weight space (see Definition 2.1.10), the slopes of classical Hilbert modular forms in weight κ are given by unions of arithmetic progression (in the examples we have computed). Our methods also allow us to compute finite approximations $U_p(N, \kappa)$ to the infinite matrix of U_p acting on overconvergent Hilbert modular forms of weight κ. In this case, since the U_p operator is compact, one can prove there exists a function $f : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ (see Warning 7.1.13 for an explicit lower bound of this function) such that if the size of our approximation matrix is $N \times N$, then the first $f(N)$ smallest slopes of $U_p(N, \kappa)$ coincide with the first $f(N)$ smallest slopes of overconvergent Hilbert modular forms of weight κ. Unfortunately, the best bounds on f that we have grow very slowly as N increases; this means that, in practice, to prove that all of the approximated slopes we have computed are in fact slopes of overconvergent Hilbert modular forms (which we expect is the case), our N needs to be much larger than we can currently compute with.[5] We hope to address this problem in later work.

Our computations do however have much of the (conjectural) structure that one has over $\mathbb{Q}$; meaning there is evidence that the overconvergent slopes can be "generated" by slopes appearing in the classical spaces of Hilbert modular forms of (parallel) weight 2 analogous to what one sees over $\mathbb{Q}$ (e.g. part (c) of the conjecture above). See Conjecture 7.2.5 and Conjecture 7.3.4. As an example of the computations we have done, we have the following:

Example (Split case). Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{13})$, $p = 3$ (which is split), level $U_0(9)$ and nebentypus ψ of conductor 9. Then we have the following sequence of approximated slopes (here we write (s, m) for the slope s together with the multiplicity m with which it appears. The size corresponds to the size of our approximation matrix $U_p(N, \kappa)$).

Weight	Size	(Slope, Multiplicity)
$[4, 4]\mathbb{1}$	$20 \cdot 12$	$(0, 1)$, $(1, 2)$, $(2, 4)$, $(3, 4)$, $(4, 6)$, $(5, 10)$, $(6, 7)$, $(7, 6)$, $(15/2, 2)$, $(8, 12)$, $(17/2, 2)$, $(9, 5)$,$(\infty, 144)$
$[4, 4]\psi$	$30 \cdot 12$	$(0, 1)$, $(1/2, 2)$, $(1, 8)$, $(3/2, 6)$, $(2, 16)$, $(5/2, 10)$, $(3, 24)$, $(7/2, 14)$, $(4, 32)$, $(9/2, 18)$, $(5, 40)$, $(11/2, 22)$, $(6, 48)$, $(13/2, 26)$, $(7, 50)$, $(15/2, 18)$, $(8, 19)$, $(17/2, 4)$, $(9, 2)$

Observation. (a) Looking at these computations we see that near the centre of the weight space, where the character is trivial ($\mathbb{1}$), the slopes are "almost" in arithmetic progression apart from a few entries, but there is little structure to the multiplicities. Moreover, in this case, since the wild level is large, we see that we get lots of forms of infinite slope.

[5] For example, in some of our computations, we would need our approximation matrix to have $N \sim 10^6$, although computations suggest that, in this case, we only need $N \sim 480$, but we cannot at this time prove this much stronger bound.

(b) If we now pick ψ to be a character of conductor 9, and consider weight $[4,4]\psi$, then the sequence of approximated slopes appears as the union of arithmetic progressions with common difference $1/2$. Moreover, we see that the multiplicities with which the slopes appear is increasing, which is something that one does not see (as far as the author knows) in the case of modular forms over $\mathbb{Q}$. In Section 7 we give some insight as to why we see this phenomenon and observe some structure of the slopes similar to that in [BPar]. Lastly, we will see that the computations indicate that these slopes (once appropriately normalized) only depend on which component of the weight space the weight lies. See Conjecture 7.2.5.

Example (Inert case). Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$, $p = 2$ (which is inert) level $8\mathfrak{p}_{11}$ (where $\mathfrak{p}_{11} \mid 11$) and a primitive Hecke character ϵ of conductor 8. Then we have the following sequence of approximated slopes (with the same notation as above):

Level	Weight	Size	(Slope, Multiplicity)
$U_0(8\mathfrak{p}_{11})$	$[4,4]\epsilon$	$24 \cdot 16$	$(2/3,6)$, $(1,4)$, $(4/3,6)$, $(3/2,8)$, $(2,16)$, $(5/2,16)$, $(8/3,6)$, $(3,20)$, $(10/3,6)$, $(7/2,16)$, $(11/3,12)$, $(4,24)$, $(13/3,12)$, $(9/2,24)$, $(14/3,6)$, $(5,36)$, $(16/3,6)$, $(11/2,24)$, $(17/3,12)$, $(6,24)$, $(19/3,12)$, $(13/2,16)$, $(20/3,6)$, $(7,20)$, $(22/3,6)$, $(15/2,16)$, $(8,16)$, $(17/2,8)$
$U_0(2\mathfrak{p}_{11})$	$[4,4]\mathbb{1}$	200	$(2,8)$, $(4,1)$, $(5,4)$, $(16/3,6)$, $(6,6)$, $(7,2)$, $(8,7)$, $(17/2,12)$, $(9,4)$, $(10,21)$, $(11,2)$, $(12,6)$, $(25/2,4)$, $(13,6)$, $(27/2,4)$, $(14,3)$, $(15,22)$, $(16,8)$, $(33/2,4)$, $(17,13)$, $(35/2,24)$, $(18,21)$, $(19,6)$, $(39/2,2)$, $(20,3)$, $(21,1)$

Observation. (a) Here again we see that the approximated slopes are in arithmetic progression and again the multiplicities are increasing. We note here that in the example computed above, not all the arithmetic progressions have the same difference, which again is something that has not been observed in the case of modular forms over $\mathbb{Q}$. Lastly, as in the previous example, we will see in Section 7 that the computations indicate that these slopes (once normalized) only depend on which component of the weight space the weight lives. See Conjecture 7.3.4.

(b) We also compute slopes near the centre at a low level (this is to try and avoid infinite slope forms). Here we see much less structure and that many slopes are not integers.

Remark. *In all the cases we have computed, one conjectures that the slopes near the boundary can be generated by an algorithm whose only input is the slopes appearing in weight* $[2,2]\psi\tau^n$ *for* τ *the Teichmüller character and the number of cusps, which is analogous to what is conjectured in* [BPar] *for modular forms over* $\mathbb{Q}$.

Remark. Our computations near the boundary, for a fixed field F and prime p, are limited to only changing the algebraic part of the weight and not the finite part, which means $v_p(w(\kappa))$ (which is defined in 2.1.10) is always fixed. The reason for this is that changing $v_p(w(\kappa))$ requires working with more ramified characters and levels, which translates into much larger matrices than we can currently work with.

Lastly, we prove a lower bound for the Newton polygon of U_p on overconvergent Hilbert modular forms. Let $S_{\underline{2}}^D(U)$ denote the space of weight $[2,2]$ modular forms on D including the space of elements that factor through the reduced norm map (these correspond to Eisenstein series, see [DV13, Definition 3.7]).

Proposition. *Let U be a neat level, $h = \dim(S_{\underline{2}}^D(U))$ and let κ be any arithmetic weight. Then the Newton Polygon of the action of U_p on overconvergent Hilbert modular forms of level U weight κ lies above the polygon with vertices*

$$(0,0), (h-1,0), (3h-1,2h), \ldots, \left(\frac{i(i+1)h}{2} - 1, \frac{(i-1)i(i+1)h}{3}\right), \ldots$$

In later work, we hope to construct similar integral models as the ones used in [LWX] by using the recent results of [JN16] and trying to prove similar results in this setting, to obtain better bounds for the Newton polygon. Furthermore, we hope to prove that the slopes computed are in fact slopes of overconvergent Hilbert modular forms and compute many more examples. With this we hope to make precise conjectures about the behaviour of the slopes both near the centre and near the boundary of the weight space. It would be interesting to try and prove a result similar to that of Buzzard–Kilford in [BK05] by finding a suitable example to work with. Lastly, it would be very interesting to try and formulate a "ghost series" in this setting as in [BP16].

Organization. In Section 2 we recall some brief background on eigenvarieties and Chenevier's Interpolation theorem, which will be used in Section 5, where we prove the overconvergent Jacquet-Langlands correspondence for Hilbert modular forms. In Section 3-4 we briefly recall the construction of the eigenvarieties associated to Hilbert modular forms and quaternionic modular forms. Lastly, in Section 6-7 we investigate the applications of our results to computing slopes of overconvergent Hilbert modular forms, and we study the behaviour of these slopes.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank his supervisor Lassina Dembélé for his support and guidance. He would also like to thank Kevin Buzzard, David Hansen, Alan Lauder, David Loeffler and Vincent Pilloni for interesting discussions and very useful suggestions.

1. Notation

Notation 1.1.1. (1) Let F be a totally real field of degree g, and fix a rational prime p which is unramified, unless otherwise stated at the beginning of a section. Moreover, we let $G = \mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathrm{GL}_2)$.

(2) Let $\mathcal{O}_F$ denote the ring of integers of F. For each finite place v of F let F_v denote the completion of F with respect to F and $\mathcal{O}_v$ the ring of integers of F_v. For an integral ideal $\mathfrak{n}$, let $F_{\mathfrak{n}} = \prod_{v|\mathfrak{n}} F_v$ and similarly let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{n}} = \prod_{v|\mathfrak{n}} \mathcal{O}_v$. In particular, if we have $p\mathcal{O}_F = \prod_{i=1}^{f} \mathfrak{p}_i$, then let $\mathcal{O}_p = \oplus_i \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = \mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$.

(3) Let Σ to be the set of all places of F, Σ_p be the set of all finite places above p and $\Sigma_\infty \subset \Sigma$ the set of all infinite places of F. By abuse of notation we will often make no distinction between a finite place of F and the associated prime ideal of F, but instead, when we want to think of the places as prime ideals we denote them by $\mathfrak{p}$.

(4) Let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ denote the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}$ inside $\mathbb{C}$ and we fix an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Furthermore, we fix embeddings $inc : \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $inc_p : \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, which allow us to think of the elements of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ as both complex and p-adic numbers.

(5) For each $v \in \Sigma_\infty$, we have a field embedding i_v of F into $\mathbb{C}$ given by v; this map extends to a map $F_p \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ and then factors through the projection $F_p \longrightarrow F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for some $\mathfrak{p}$ above

p. This then gives a natural surjection $\Sigma_\infty \longrightarrow \Sigma_p$ where $v \mapsto \mathfrak{p}_v$. For each prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}_j \in \Sigma_p$ let $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$ be the set of $v \in \Sigma_\infty$ factoring through the projection $F_p \longrightarrow F_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$.

(6) Let L be a complete extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, which contains the compositum of the images of F under $\iota \circ \iota_v$, for $v \in \Sigma_\infty$, where $\iota : \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ and ι_v is the field embedding of F into $\mathbb{C}$ given by v.

(7) Let $\mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma_\infty}$ denote the set of elements $n = (n_v)_{v\in\Sigma_\infty}$ for $n_v \in \mathbb{Z}$. In what follows, for $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\xi = (\xi_v)_{v\in\Sigma_\infty} \in \mathbb{C}^{\Sigma_\infty}$, we will let $\mu^n = \prod_v \mu^{n_v}$ and $\xi^n = \prod_v \xi_v^{n_v}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma_\infty}$.

(8) We use a slightly different convention for weight $[2,2]$ modular forms on D. It is customary to define $S_{[2,2]}(U)$ as a quotient $S_{\underline{2}}(U)/\operatorname{Inv}(U)$, where $\operatorname{Inv}(U)$ is a subspace of forms that factor through the reduced norm map (see [Hid88, Section 1]). But for our purposes we do not quotient out by $\operatorname{Inv}(U)$, so in weight $[2,2]$ are slightly different to what is usually defined.

2. Eigenvarieties

We begin by briefly reviewing the construction of eigenvarieties and Chenevier's Interpolation Theorem. More details can be found in many places, for example [CM98, Che05, Buz07, Han].

The weight space. The weight space is a rigid analytic variety that allows us to make formal the idea of modular forms "living" in p-adic families.

Definition 2.1.2. Let L be as in Notation 1.1.1 (6) and let $\mathbb{T} = \operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{G}_m$. We define $\mathcal{W}^G$ be the rigid analytic space over L associated to the completed group algebra $\mathcal{O}_L[\![\mathbb{T}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \times \mathbb{Z}_p^\times]\!]$. We call $\mathcal{W}^G$ the **weight space** for G. Moreover, let

$$\kappa^{un,G} : \mathbb{T}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \times \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_L[\![\mathbb{T}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \times \mathbb{Z}_p^\times]\!]^\times$$

denote the **universal character** of $\mathcal{W}^G$.

2.1.3. It follows from the above definition that the weight space $\mathcal{W}^G$ is the rigid analytic space over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, representing the functor sending any $\mathbb{Q}_p$-algebra A to $\operatorname{Hom}_{cts}(\mathbb{T}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \times \mathbb{Z}_p^\times, A^\times)$. Moreover, we note that $\mathbb{T}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \times \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \cong H \times \mathbb{Z}_p^{g+1}$, where H is the torsion subgroup of $\mathbb{T}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \times \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. From this it follows that

$$\mathcal{W}^G \cong H^\vee \times B(1,1)^{g+1}$$

as rigid spaces, where $H^\vee$ is the character group of H and $B(1,1)$ is the open ball of radius 1 around 1. It is clear from this that $\mathcal{W}^G$ has dimension $g+1$.

Notation 2.1.4. We will denote the elements of $\mathcal{W}^G(\mathbb{C}_p)$ by $\kappa = (\omega, r)$, where $\omega : \mathbb{T}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p^\times$ and $r : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p^\times$. More generally, if $\mathfrak{U}$ is an affinoid with a morphism of rigid spaces $\mathfrak{U} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}^G$, then we will denote by $\kappa^{\mathfrak{U}} = (\omega^{\mathfrak{U}}, r^{\mathfrak{U}})$ the restriction of the universal character to $\mathfrak{U}$.

Definition 2.1.5. Let $(\omega, r) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma_\infty} \times \mathbb{Z}$. This defines an **algebraic weight** by sending $(a,b) \in \mathbb{T}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \times \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ to $a^w b^r$, with notation as in Notation 1.1.1 (7).

2.1.6. There is a natural map $\mathbb{T}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \times \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ given by $t \mapsto (t^2, N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(t))$. In this way we view weights $\kappa \in \mathcal{W}^G$ as maps on $\mathbb{T}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, which we do throughout without mention, but it will be clear from the context.

Definition 2.1.7. Pick $n, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\Sigma_\infty}$ such that $\nu_i = 0$ for some i and $n + 2\nu = r$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ (here by abuse of notation we denote $(r, \ldots, r)$ by r). We set $k = n + 2$ and $w = \nu + n + 1$.

Now let τ denote the Teichmüller character, and for $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\Sigma_\infty} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ we let τ^s be the character of H which is τ^{s_i} on the i-th component of H and let χ be a character of conductor dividing p^n. We then let $\kappa_{\chi\tau^s}$ denote the weight sending h to $\kappa(h)\chi(h)\tau^s(h)$, where $\kappa = 2w - r \in \mathbb{Z}^g$ as above. These are called **classical weights**.

It follows from the above that for a classical algebraic weight, all the entries of k have the same parity (are paritious) and $k = 2w - r$ (we will sometimes write this as $k = 2w + r$, where we have implicitly replaced w with $w + r$). Note that given k (with all entries paritious and greater that 2) and w we can recover n, ν, so in what follows we will move between both descriptions when convenient.

Definition 2.1.8. A p-adic character $\kappa \in \mathcal{W}^G$ is called **arithmetic** if it is the product of a algebraic character $[k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_g]$ (here we are using the 2.1.6) and a finite character ψ. We will sometimes denote such weights by $[k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_g]\psi$.

Remark 2.1.9. In the literature there are slightly more general weight spaces than the one we have introduced. One alternative way of defining the weight space is to let $\mathcal{W}'$ denote the rigid analytic space associated to the completed group algebra $\mathcal{O}_L[\![T(\mathbb{Z}_p)]\!]$, where T is the standard maximal torus of G. The problem with this weight space is that it contains too many weights for which the associated spaces of modular forms would be empty. For this reason one usually imposes suitable vanishing conditions on these weights. See [Buz07, Part III] and [Urb11, 4.3.2]. The weight spaces one gets this way conjecturally have dimension $g+1$ (dependant on Leopoldt's conjecture). For this reason we have chosen to work with $\mathcal{W}^G$ which has the correct dimension and is conjecturally isomorphic to these more general weight spaces.

Definition 2.1.10. Let $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \ldots, \kappa_g)$ be a weight on $\mathbb{T}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^g$ and let γ_i be a topological generator of the i-th copy of $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Then we define $w(\kappa) = (\kappa_i(\gamma_i) - 1) \in \mathbb{C}_p^g$. In this way we obtain a "coordinate" in the weight space for each of our weights. We also set $v_p(w(\kappa)) = \min_i\{v_p(\kappa_i(\gamma_i) - 1)\}$ and say that for an odd prime p (resp. $p = 2$), a weight κ is near the **boundary** if $v_p(w(\kappa)) \leq 1$ (resp. $v_p(w(\kappa)) < 3$), otherwise we say it is near the **centre**.

When defining the spaces of locally analytic functions it will be convenient for us to extend the definition of the weight space from $\mathbb{T}$ to T, which denotes the maximal torus. We do this as follows:

Definition 2.1.11. Let $\kappa \in \mathcal{W}^G$ and set

$$\lambda_\kappa \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_1(a)\lambda_2(d)$$

where $\lambda_1 = n$, $\lambda_2 = \nu$, and where $\kappa = (n, \nu)$.

2.1.12. Later, when defining the spaces of overconvergent quaternionic modular forms, we talk about a weight on T and we will simply denote it by λ and assume implicitly that there is some $\kappa \in \mathcal{W}^G$ such that $\lambda = \lambda_\kappa$. This construction then lets us take a weight $\mathcal{W}^G$ and get a weight in $\mathcal{W}'$ as in Remark 2.1.9.

2.2. **The spectral variety**. We begin by recalling the following definitions from rigid geometry:

Definition 2.2.1. If R is an affinoid integral domain, we say that R is **relatively factorial** if for any $f \in R\langle X\rangle$ (which is the space of convergent power series with coefficients in R) with constant term 1, we can factor (f) uniquely as a product of principal prime ideals, where each prime ideal can be chosen to be generated by an element of constant term 1. Furthermore, we say that a rigid analytic space is **relatively factorial** if it has an admissible covering by relatively factorial affinoids.

Definition 2.2.2. If W is a relatively factorial rigid analytic space and $i : W \times \{0\} \hookrightarrow W \times \mathbb{A}^1$ is the natural map, inducing a map $i^* : \mathscr{O}(W \times \mathbb{A}^1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}(W)$, then a **Fredholm series** is a global section $f \in \mathscr{O}(W \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ such that $i^*(f) = 1$. The subspace of $W \times \mathbb{A}^1$ cut out by a Fredholm series is called a **Fredholm hypersurface**.

Proposition 2.2.3. *If f is a Fredholm series and $\mathscr{Z}(f)$ is the Fredholm hypersurface it defines, then the natural map $W \times \mathbb{A}^1 \longrightarrow W$ induces a map $\mathscr{Z} \longrightarrow W$ whose image is Zariski open in W*

Proof. See [Han, Proposition 4.1.3]. □

Definition 2.2.4. Let U be a compact operator on Banach space M. We define the **Fredholm determinant** as

$$\mathrm{Fred}_M(U) = \det(1 - XU|M) := \lim_i \det(1 - XU_i|M_i).$$

For our purposes, the Fredholm hypersurfaces we study will be given by the subspace of $\mathcal{W}^G \times \mathbb{A}^1$ cut out by $\mathrm{Fred}_{S^\dagger}(U_p)$, where $\mathcal{W}^G$ is the weight space as in Definition 2.1.2, U_p is a compact operator on a space $S^\dagger$ of overconvergent Hilbert modular forms.

Definition 2.2.5. Let $\mathfrak{U} \subset \mathcal{W}^G$ be an affinoid and $\mathscr{Z}(f)$ a Fredholm hypersurface. Define

$$\mathscr{Z}_{\mathfrak{U},h} = \mathscr{O}(\mathfrak{U})\langle p^h X\rangle/(f(X)),$$

which we view as an admissible affinoid open subset of $\mathscr{Z}(f)$. We have a natural map $\mathscr{Z}_{\mathfrak{U},h} \to \mathscr{Z}(f)$, which is flat but might not be finite. We say that $\mathscr{Z}_{\mathfrak{U},h}$ is **slope-adapted** if the above map is finite and flat.

Remark 2.2.6. It is the possible to show that $\mathscr{Z}_{\mathfrak{U},h}$ is slope adapted if and only if $f|_{\mathfrak{U}}$ admits a slope $\leq h$ factorization $f|_{\mathfrak{U}}(X) = Q(X)R(X)$, from which we have $\mathscr{O}(\mathscr{Z}_{\mathfrak{U},h}) = \mathscr{O}(\mathfrak{U})[X]/(Q(X))$. Then [Buz07, Theorem 4.6] tells us that the collection slope-adapted affinoids is an admissible cover of $\mathscr{Z}(f)$.

2.3. **Eigenvarieties**. In order to define an eigenvariety $\mathscr{X}$, we need to specify the eigendata to which it is associated.

Definition 2.3.1. We give the name of **eigendata** or **eigenvariety data** to the tuple

$$\mathfrak{D} = (\mathcal{W}^G, \mathscr{Z}, \mathscr{M}, \boldsymbol{T}, \psi),$$

where:

- $\mathcal{W}^G$ is the weight space, which is a separated, reduced, equidimensional, relatively factorial rigid analytic space.
- $\mathscr{M}$ is a coherent analytic sheaf on $\mathscr{Z}$.

- $\mathscr{Z}(U) \subset \mathcal{W}^G \times \mathbb{A}^1$ is a Fredholm hypersurface coming from a compact operator U acting on the modules coming from $\mathscr{M}$.
- $\boldsymbol{T}$ a commutative $\mathbb{Q}_p$-algebra (the Hecke algebra).
- ψ is a $\mathbb{Q}_p$ algebra homomorphism $\psi : \boldsymbol{T} \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{Z}}}(\mathscr{M})$.

It will be important to us what kind of modules make up the coherent sheaf $\mathscr{M}$.

Definition 2.3.2. Let A be commutative Noetherian K-Banach algebra, for K a field complete with respect to a non-trivial non-archimedean norm. Following [Buz07], we say a Banach A-module P satisfies property (Pr) if there is a Banach A-module Q, such that $P \oplus Q$ (with its usual norm) is potentially ON-able.

From now on we will assume that the modules that make up $\mathscr{M}$ are all satisfy (Pr).

Theorem 2.3.3. *Attached to the eigendata $\mathfrak{D} = (\mathcal{W}^G, \mathscr{Z}, \mathscr{M}, \boldsymbol{T}, \psi)$, we have a rigid analytic space $\mathscr{X}$, together with a finite morphism $\pi : \mathscr{X} \to \mathscr{Z}$, a morphism $\alpha : \mathscr{X} \to \mathcal{W}^G$, an algebra homomorphism*

$$\phi_{\mathscr{X}} : \boldsymbol{T} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}(\mathscr{X}),$$

and a coherent sheaf $\mathscr{M}'$ on $\mathscr{X}$ such that $\pi_*(\mathscr{M}') \cong \mathscr{M}$. Furthermore, we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \boldsymbol{T} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{Z}}}(\mathscr{M}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_{\mathscr{X}}} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \pi_*} \\ \mathscr{O}(\mathscr{X}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X}}}(\mathscr{M}') \end{array}$$

The points of $\mathscr{X}$ lying over $z \in \mathscr{Z}$ are in bijection with the generalized eigenspaces for the action of $\boldsymbol{T}$ on $\mathscr{M}(z)$ and resulting eigenvariety will be equidimensional of dimension $\dim(\mathcal{W}^G)$.

Proof. This is originally due to Coleman-Mazur and Buzzard, but has been extended by Urban and Hansen among others. In this setting, a good reference is [Buz07, Construction 5.7] and [Han, Theorem 4.2.2].

□

Remark 2.3.4. Note that the above construction due to [Han] is slightly more general than the one originally given by [CM98, Buz07]. So in general the eigenvarieties this produces will not be equidimensional unless we have the same set-up as in [Buz07], which is true for the cases we are interested in.

2.4. **The Interpolation Theorem.** In this section we will recall Chenevier's interpolation theorem, which we will use in order to interpolate the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence. For this we will need to find a very Zariski dense subset of the weight space, together with a classical structure on it. As the name suggests, the classical structure will be given by the subspace of classical modular forms inside the space of overconvergent modular forms. We then use this to find closed immersions between different eigenvarieties by relating their classical structures. In our case, it will be the classical Jacquet-Langlands correspondence that will allow us to relate the classical structures.

Following [Che05], we have:

Definition 2.4.1. A subset $X \subset Z$ is Zariski dense in Z if for every analytic subset (see [BGR84, Section 9.5.2]) $Y \subset Z$ such that $X \subset Y$, then $Y = Z$.

Definition 2.4.2. A Zariski dense subset $X \subset \mathcal{W}^G(\mathbb{C}_p)$ is **very Zariski dense** if for each $x \in X$ and each irreducible admissible affinoid open $V \subset \mathcal{W}^G$ containing x, we have that $V(\mathbb{C}_p) \cap X$ is Zariski dense in X.

From this we define the classical structures as follows:

Definition 2.4.3. Let $(\mathcal{W}^G, \mathscr{Z}, \mathscr{M}, \boldsymbol{T}, \psi)$ be a set of eigendata as above and let $X \subset \mathcal{W}^G$ be a very Zariski dense subset. For each $x \in X$, let $\mathscr{M}^{cl}(x)$ be a finite dimensional $\boldsymbol{T}$-module contained in $\mathscr{M}(x)$ and, for every $h \in \mathbb{R}$, set

$$X_h = \{x \in X \mid \mathscr{M}^{\leq h}(x) \subset \mathscr{M}^{cl}(x)\}.$$

We say that $\mathscr{M}^{cl}$ gives a **classical structure** on X if for every open affinoid neighborhood $V \subset \mathcal{W}^G$, the sets X, X_h have the same Zariski closure in V.


Definition 2.4.4. If $\mathscr{X}$ is an eigenvariety, with eigendata $\mathfrak{D} = (\mathcal{W}^G, \mathscr{Z}, \mathscr{M}, T, \psi)$, we denote the **nilreduction** of $\mathscr{X}$ by $\mathscr{X}^{red}$, and we say that an eigenvariety is **reduced** if $\mathscr{X}^{red} \cong \mathscr{X}$.

With these definitions we can now state the interpolation theorem.

Theorem 2.4.5. *(Chenevier, Hansen) Let $\mathfrak{D}_i = (\mathcal{W}_i, \mathscr{Z}_i(U), \mathscr{M}_i, \boldsymbol{T}_i, \psi_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, be eigenvarieties with $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_1 = \mathcal{W}_2$ and $\boldsymbol{T} = \boldsymbol{T}_1 = \boldsymbol{T}_2$; and $X \subset \mathcal{W}$ a very Zariski dense subset which gives a classical structure $\mathscr{M}_i^{cl}$ on each $\mathscr{M}_i$. Assume that, for all $t \in \boldsymbol{T}$ and all $x \in X$, we have*

$$\det\left(1 - \psi_1(tU)Y_{|\mathscr{M}_1^{cl}(x)}\right) \mid \det\left(1 - \psi_2(tU)Y_{|\mathscr{M}_2^{cl}(x)}\right)$$

in $k(x)[Y]$, where $k(x)$ is the residue field at x. Then, there is a canonical closed immersion $\iota : \mathscr{X}_1^{red} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{X}_2^{red}$ such that the following diagrams commute



Proof. See [Che05, Theorem 1] or [Han, Theorem 5.1.6].

□

Corollary 2.4.6. *If*

$$\det\left(1 - \psi_1(tU)Y_{|\mathscr{M}_1^{cl}(x)}\right) = \det\left(1 - \psi_2(tU)Y_{|\mathscr{M}_2^{cl}(x)}\right)$$

in $k(x)[Y]$ for all $t \in \boldsymbol{T}$ and all $x \in X$, then there is an isomorphism $\mathscr{X}_1^{red} \cong \mathscr{X}_2^{red}$.

Proof. In this case the above Theorem gives us two closed immersions $\mathscr{X}_1^{red} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{X}_2^{red}$ and $\mathscr{X}_2^{red} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{X}_1^{red}$, from which the result follows at once.

□

We now have a result of Chenevier that gives a criterion for an eigenvariety to be reduced.

Suppose that we have a very Zariski dense subset $X \subset \mathcal{W}$ which gives a classical structure. For $h \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$X_h^{ss} = \{x \in X \mid \mathscr{M}^{cl}(x) \cap \mathscr{M}^{\leq h}(x) \text{ is a semisimple } \boldsymbol{T}\text{-module}\}.$$

Lemma 2.4.7. *If for all $h \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in X$ and $V \subset \mathcal{W}$ an open affinoid containing x, there exists a $W \subset V$ an open affinoid containing x, such that $X_h^{ss} \cap W$ contains an open Zariski dense subset of $X \cap W$, then $\mathscr{X}$ is reduced (here we view $X \cap W$ as a topological subspace of W with the Zariski topology).*

Proof. See [Che05, Proposition 3.9]. □

3. Eigenvariety of Hilbert modular cusp forms

In this section we define the spaces of Hilbert modular forms, in a way which generalizes to give the spaces of overconvergent Hilbert modular cusp forms. We will only discuss the classical spaces here and give some indication of what is needed to extend this to the overconvergent setting as is done in [AIPc]. Throughout this section our chosen prime p may be ramified unless otherwise stated.

To define the spaces of Hilbert modular forms for G, we first work with the group $G^* = G \times_{\mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}_m} \mathbb{G}_m$ and define the spaces of modular forms for G^*. Then using a projector one gets the definition for G. The reason for working with G^* is that the relevant moduli problem associated to G^* is representable while the one for G is not.

We recall here some of the background for the level structures that we will be working with. Following Hida [Hid04], we consider the fibered category $\mathcal{A}_F$ of abelian schemes over the category of schemes.

Definition 3.1.8. An object of $\mathcal{A}_F$ is a triple (A, λ, ι) where:

(1) A is an abelian scheme $A \longrightarrow S$ of relative dimension g over S;
(2) $\mathcal{O}_F$-multiplication given by an embedding $\iota : \mathcal{O}_F \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}_S(A)$;
(3) Let $\mathfrak{c}$ be a fractional ideal of F and $\mathfrak{c}^+$ its cone of totally positive elements. If $P \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_F}(A, A^\vee)$ is a sheaf for the étale topology on S of symmetric $\mathcal{O}_F$-linear homomorphisms from A to the dual abelian scheme $A^\vee$, and if $P^+ \subset P$ is the subset of polarizations, then
$$\lambda : (P, P^+) \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{c}^+)$$
is an isomorphism of étale sheaves, as invertible $\mathcal{O}_F$-modules with a notion of positivity. The triple $(A, A^\vee, \mathfrak{c})$ is subject to the Deligne-Pappas conditions, which means the map $A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \mathfrak{c} \longrightarrow A^\vee$ is an isomorphism of abelian schemes.

See [Hid04, Section 4.1] and [AIPc] for more details.

We are interested in the level structures $\Gamma_1(\mathfrak{n}), \Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n})$, $\Gamma(N)$ and μ_N. In particular we are interested in the moduli problem associated to each of these level structures, which we define as follows:

Definition 3.1.9. For (A, ι, λ) as above:

- Let $M(\mu_N, \mathfrak{c})$ be the Hilbert moduli scheme representing the functor
$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu_N} : \mathbb{Z}[1/N] - SCH \longrightarrow SETS$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{\mu_N}(S)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of $(A/S, \iota, \lambda, \Phi_N)$. Here

$$\Phi_N : (\delta^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mu_N) \hookrightarrow A$$

is a closed immersion compatible with with $\mathcal{O}_F$-actions, for $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}$ and δ is the different ideal of $\mathcal{O}_F$.

- Let $M(\Gamma(N), \mathfrak{c})$ be the Hilbert moduli scheme representing the functor

$$\mathcal{E}_{\Gamma(N)} : \mathbb{Z}[1/N] - SCH \longrightarrow SETS$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{\Gamma(N)}(S)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of $(A/S, \iota, \lambda, \phi_N)$. Where

$$\phi_N : (\delta^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mu_N) \oplus (\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} N^{-1}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} A[N]$$

is $\mathcal{O}_F$-linear and is such that the pairing $e_N : A[N] \times A^\vee[N] \longrightarrow \mu_N$ composed with the polarization λ agrees via ϕ_N with the natural pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : (\delta^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mu_N) \oplus (\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} N^{-1}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mu_N$$

given by $(a \otimes \zeta, b \otimes m) \mapsto \exp(\mathrm{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(ab))\zeta^m$.

- For $\mathfrak{n}$ an ideal in $\mathcal{O}_F$ with $\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathbb{Z} = (N)$, let $\phi_{\mathfrak{n}} : \mu_{\mathfrak{n}} \hookrightarrow A[N]$ and let $\mathcal{O}_F^{\times,+}\lambda = \{\epsilon\lambda \mid \epsilon \in \mathcal{O}_F^{\times,+}\}$ be a polarization class. Consider the functor

$$\mathcal{E}_{\Gamma_1(\mathfrak{n})} : \mathbb{Z}[1/N] - SCH \longrightarrow SETS$$

given by letting $\mathcal{E}_{\Gamma_1(\mathfrak{n})}(S)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of $(A/S, \iota, \mathcal{O}_F^{\times,+}\lambda, \phi_{\mathfrak{n}})$. See [Hid04, Section 4.1.2] for details. For $\mathfrak{n}$ sufficiently deep, this is a coarse moduli problem represented by a scheme $M(\Gamma_1(\mathfrak{n}), \mathfrak{c})$.

- Let C be a étale cyclic subgroup of order $\mathfrak{n}$. We call the quintuple

$$(A, \iota, \lambda, \phi_{p^\infty} : \mu_{p^\infty} \otimes \delta^{-1} \hookrightarrow A[p^\infty], C)$$

a $\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n})$ level structure. Note that in general the moduli problem associated to this level structure is not representable due to the presence of automorphisms, but when we consider appropriate mixed level moduli problems we can get rid of these extra automorphisms.

From now on, Γ will be a level structure for which the associated moduli problem is representable. For example if we take $\Gamma = \mu_N$ for $N \geq 3$ (as is done in [AIPc]) then by [Hid04, Remark 6.21] the associated moduli problem is representable by a scheme $M(\mu_N, \mathfrak{c})$. Moreover, if we take the mixed moduli problem $\Gamma = \mu_N \cap \Gamma_i(\mathfrak{q})$ for $i \in \{\emptyset, 0, 1\}$, $\mathfrak{q}$ a prime ideal not dividing p and $N \geq 3$, then one can show that the associated moduli problem is representable by a geometric quotient of $M(\mu_N, \mathfrak{c})$ (this is just a generalization of [Hid00, Theorem 2.9.7]).

Notation 3.1.10. For Γ as above, we let $M(\Gamma, \mathfrak{c})$ denote the scheme representing the corresponding moduli problem. Denote by $\overline{M}(\Gamma, \mathfrak{c})$ a fixed toroidal compactification of $M(\Gamma, \mathfrak{c})$ and by $\overline{M}^*(\Gamma, \mathfrak{c})$ its minimal compactification.

Definition 3.1.11. Let $\boldsymbol{A}$ be a versal semiabelian scheme over $\overline{M}(\Gamma, \mathfrak{c})$, with real multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_F$. Define $\omega_{\boldsymbol{A}}$ to be the conormal sheaf to the identity of $\boldsymbol{A}$.

There exist a greatest open subscheme $\overline{M}^R(\Gamma, \mathfrak{c}) \subset \overline{M}(\Gamma, \mathfrak{c})$ such that $\omega_{\boldsymbol{A}}$ is an invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{M}^R(\Gamma,\mathfrak{c})} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_F$-module. We will use that invertible sheaf to defined *another* invertible sheaf whose sections will be our Hilbert modular forms. But in order to do so, we first need to define the weight space for G^* and showing how it is related to $\mathcal{W}^G$.

Definition 3.1.12. The weight space $\mathcal{W}^{G^*}$ for G^* is defined by setting $\mathcal{W}^{G^*}$ to be the rigid analytic space over L associated to $\mathcal{O}_L[\![\mathbb{T}(\mathbb{Z}_p))]\!]$, where $\mathbb{T}$ and L are as before. There is a canonical map $\mathcal{W}^G \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}^{G^*}$ induced from 2.1.6.

We now construct an invertible sheaf associated to classical weights in $\mathcal{W}^{G^*}$, from which we can then define the spaces of Hilbert modular forms for G^*:

Definition 3.1.13. Recall that L is a splitting field for F, and let $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma_\infty}$ be a classical weight for G^*. Then, we define the invertible modular sheaf

$$\Omega^k := \bigotimes_{v \in \Sigma_\infty} \omega_{\boldsymbol{A},v}^{\otimes k_v},$$

where $\omega_{\boldsymbol{A},v} := \omega_{\boldsymbol{A}} \otimes_v \mathcal{O}_L$.

Definition 3.1.14. The L-vector space of $\mathfrak{c}$-polarized, tame level Γ and weight k Hilbert modular forms for G^* is defined by

$$M(\Gamma, \mathfrak{c}, k) := H^0(\overline{M}^R(\Gamma, \mathfrak{c}), \Omega^k).$$

The subspace of cusp forms is defined by

$$S(\Gamma, \mathfrak{c}, k) := H^0(\overline{M}^R(\Gamma, \mathfrak{c}, \Omega^k(-B)),$$

where $B := \overline{M}(\Gamma, \mathfrak{c}) \backslash M(\Gamma, \mathfrak{c})$ is the boundary divisor in the toroidal compactification.

3.1.15. To define the spaces of Hilbert modular forms associated to G, we need to introduce a certain projector. First, we define an action of $\mathfrak{D} := \mathcal{O}_F^{\times,+}/T_{\mathfrak{n}}^2$ on $M(\Gamma, \mathfrak{c})$, where $\mathcal{O}_F^{\times,+}$ are the totally positive units and $T_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is its subgroup of units congruent to 1 modulo $\mathfrak{n}\mathcal{O}_F$, and $\mathfrak{n}$ is the level of Γ. If $(A, \iota, \Psi, \lambda)$ is a point of $M(\Gamma, \mathfrak{c})$ (here Ψ is chosen appropriately to give the Γ level structure) and $\epsilon \in \mathcal{O}_F^{\times,+}$, then define

$$\epsilon \cdot (A, \iota, \Psi, \lambda) := (A, \iota, \Psi, \epsilon\lambda).$$

Since multiplication by ϵ gives an isomorphism $\epsilon : A \to A$ such that[6] $\epsilon^*\lambda = \epsilon^2\lambda$, it follows that, if $\epsilon = \eta^2 \in T_{\mathfrak{n}}$, then ϵ acts trivially on $(A, \iota, \Psi, \lambda)$. Hence the action factors through $\mathfrak{D}$ as required.

Definition 3.1.16. Let $k = (w, r) \in \mathcal{W}^{G^*}$ be a classical algebraic weight. We define an action of $\mathfrak{D}$ on Ω^k by sending a local section of Ω^k on $M^R(\Gamma, \mathfrak{c})$ to

$$(\epsilon \cdot f) : (A, \iota, \Psi, \lambda, \beta) \to \omega(\epsilon^{-1}) f(A, \iota, \Psi, \epsilon^{-1}\lambda, \beta)$$

where $\epsilon \in \mathcal{O}_F^{\times,+}$ and β is a local generator for $w_{\boldsymbol{A}}$ as a $\mathcal{O}_{M^R(\Gamma,\mathfrak{c})} \otimes \mathcal{O}_F$-module. If $\epsilon = \eta^2 \in T_{\mathfrak{n}}$, then this acts trivially. Hence the action factors through $\mathfrak{D}$. With this we define a **projector**

$$e : M(\Gamma, \mathfrak{c}, k) \to M(\Gamma, \mathfrak{c}, k)$$

by

$$e := \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{D}|} \sum_{\epsilon \in \mathfrak{D}} \epsilon.$$

We can now define the space of Hilbert modular cusp forms for G.

[6] See p. 100 of [Hid04]

Definition 3.1.17. The L-vector space of classical Hilbert modular forms for G of tame level Γ, polarization $\mathfrak{c}$ and weight k is defined to be the image of e and denoted $M_k^G(\Gamma,\mathfrak{c})$. Similarly, we let $S_k^G(\Gamma,\mathfrak{c})$ be the image of $S(\Gamma,\mathfrak{c},k)$ under e.

Lastly, note that $F^{\times,+}$ acts on the pairs $(\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{c}^+)$ by $\lambda(\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{c}^+)=(\lambda\mathfrak{c},\lambda\mathfrak{c}^+)$, which induces an isomorphism $\alpha_\lambda : M_k^G(\Gamma,\mathfrak{c}) \longrightarrow M_k^G(\Gamma,\lambda\mathfrak{c})$. Moreover, if $\lambda\in\mathcal{O}_F^{\times,+}$, $\alpha_\lambda(f)=f$ for all $f\in M_k^G(\Gamma,\mathfrak{c})$. With this we define the $M_k^G(\Gamma)$ as V/I where

$$V := \oplus_{(\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{c}^+)} M_k^G(\Gamma,\mathfrak{c})$$

and $I=(f-\alpha_\lambda(f))_{\lambda\in\left(F^{\times,+}/\mathcal{O}_F^{\times,+}\right)}$. Similarly, we define $S_k(\Gamma)$.

One can define Hecke operators on these spaces, which satisfy the all the usual properties. We denote by $\boldsymbol{T}$ the Hecke algebra consisting of Hecke operators away from the level together with $U_\mathfrak{p}$ for $\mathfrak{p}\in\Sigma_p$. Moreover, when we come to the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence, we will assume that $\boldsymbol{T}$ does not contain Hecke operators at the finite places dividing the discriminant of the relevant quaternion algebra (if there are any).

3.1.18. The question now is how can we generalize this to the overconvergent setting and make sense of Ω^κ for all $\kappa\in\mathcal{W}^G$. This is accomplished in [AIPc], for the level structure μ_N, where they define a coherent sheaf Ω^κ which agrees with the one above when κ is a classical weight. From this, they obtain the spaces of overconvergent Hilbert modular forms for G^* and then for G by using a projector analogous to 3.1.15. Moreover, they show that (for G^*) the specialization map from finite slope p-adic families of cuspforms surjects onto finite slope overconvergent cuspforms of a given weight.

For our purposes we need to work with more general level structures than those in [AIPc]. However, as long as the moduli problems corresponding to those level structures are representable, the theory developed in *loc. cit.* will carry over. More specifically, we need to check that [AIPc, Theorem 3.15] still holds for our level structures. This theorem tells us that the spaces of overconvergent modular forms that have been defined are projective Banach modules and have surjective specialization maps. The proof of this relies two key ingredients:

(1) If we let $\mathfrak{M}^*(\Gamma,\mathfrak{c})$ be the formal completion of $\overline{M}^*(\Gamma,\mathfrak{c})$, and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^*(\Gamma,\mathfrak{c})$ be the rigid analytic fibre of $\mathfrak{M}^*(\Gamma,\mathfrak{c})$. Then we need that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^*(\Gamma,\mathfrak{c})^{ord}$ is affinoid, which will hold in our more general setting, since it is the complement of the zero locus of the Hasse invariant.

(2) If we let $\rho:\overline{M}(\Gamma,\mathfrak{c})\longrightarrow\overline{M}^*(\Gamma,\mathfrak{c})$, be the projection from our chosen toroidal compactification to the minimal compactification, then we want

$$R^q\rho_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{M}(\Gamma,\mathfrak{c})}(-B)=0,$$

for all $q>0$. For this the proof given in [AIPc, Theorem 3.16] works in our more general situation.

With this we get a quasi-coherent sheaf of overconvergent cuspidal arithmetic Hilbert modular forms $S^{G,\dagger}(U)$ over $\mathcal{W}^G$. As before, we can define Hecke operators on this space. Moreover, we obtain that the U_p operator is compact. We can now state the following main theorem:

Theorem 3.1.19. *Let $\mathscr{Z}(U_p)$ be the spectral variety associated to U_p acting on $S_\kappa^{G,\dagger}(U)$. Moreover, let*

$$\psi:\boldsymbol{T}\longrightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{Z}(U_p)}}(S^{G,\dagger}(\Gamma)_{fs}),$$

where the fs subscript denotes the finite slope part. Then associated to $(\mathcal{W}^G,\mathscr{Z}(U_p),S^{G,\dagger}(\Gamma),\boldsymbol{T},\psi)$ we have an eigenvariety $\mathscr{X}_G$ with the following properties:

(a) *It is equidimensional of dimension* $g+1$.
(b) *We have a universal Hecke character* $\phi : \boldsymbol{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X}}$.
(c) *We have a map* $\alpha : \mathscr{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}^G$ *that is locally on* $\mathscr{X}$ *and* $\mathcal{W}^G$*, finite and surjective.*
(d) *For all* $\kappa = (\omega, r) \in \mathcal{W}^G$*, the points* $\alpha^{-1}(\omega, r)$ *are in bijection with the finite slope eigensystems occurring in* $S^{G,\dagger}(\Gamma) \mid_\kappa = S^{G,\dagger}_\kappa(\Gamma)$.
(e) *Let* k *be a classical weight. Let* $f \in S^{G,\dagger}_\kappa(\Gamma)_{fs}$ *be a finite slope overconvergent Hilbert modular form whose* $U_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ *slope is less than* h_i *for* $\mathfrak{p}_i \in \Sigma_p$*. If* p *is unramified and* $h_i < \omega_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(k) + \min_{j \in \Sigma_{p_i}}\{k_j - 1\}$ *for all* i*, then* f *is a classical form.*
(f) *Let* $G_{F,Np}$ *be the Galois group of the maximal extension of* F*, unramified outside* Np*. Then there is a universal pseudo-character* $\zeta : G_{F,Np} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X}}$ *such that* $\zeta(Frob_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \psi(T_{\mathfrak{q}})$ *for* $\mathfrak{q}$ *a prime of* $\mathcal{O}_F$ *coprime to* Np*. Here* $Frob_{\mathfrak{q}}$ *is the geometric Frobenius.*
(g) *For all* $x \in \mathscr{X}$ *there is a semi-simple Galois representation* $\rho_x : G_{F,Np} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{k}(x))$ *characterized by* $\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_x) = \zeta|_x$ *and* $\det(\rho_x(Frob_{\mathfrak{q}})) = \psi|_x(S_{\mathfrak{q}})N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{q})$.

Proof. This is essentially [AIPc, Theorem 5.1], the only modifications are that we have change the level and are using a stronger version of the control theorem due to [TX13], which matches up with the one we will have on the quaternionic side. □

4. Eigenvarieties for totally definite quaternion algebras

Following [Buz07, Part III], we will define the eigenvariety attached to a totally definite quaternion algebra D over F and prove the control theorem in this setting. In contrast to [Buz07], we will work with the weight space $\mathcal{W}^G$ which, as we explained above, has the advantage of being equidimensional of dimension $g+1$ (recall $[F : \mathbb{Q}] = g$). Apart from this small detail, the rest of our construction spaces of overconvergent quaternionic modular forms over F follows [Buz07, Part III]. Throughout this section our chosen prime p may be ramified unless otherwise stated.

4.1. Classical spaces.

We will define the spaces of classical quaternionic modular forms using a definition that, compared to [Hid88], is more suited to p-adic interpolation. Most of the set-up will be similar to that of *loc. cit.* but with the crucial difference that the actions are "shifted" from the infinite places to the places above p.

Notation 4.1.1. (a) Let D/F be a totally definite quaternion algebra (meaning it is ramified at all the infinite places) split above p. [7] Let $G_D := \mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(D^\times)$, then G_D is a connected reductive linear algebraic group over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let T be the standard maximal torus, B the standard Borel subgroup, and the unipotent radical N. We denote by $\overline{B}$ and $\overline{N}$ be the opposite Borel and opposite unipotent radical. Fix $I \subset G_D(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ an Iwahori subgroup in good position with respect to B (in good position means that $B, N, T, G_D, \overline{N}$ have fixed compatible integral models over $\mathbb{Z}_p$).
(b) Set

$$I_m = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G(\mathbb{Z}_p) \mid c \in p^m \mathcal{O}_p \right\},$$

with $I = I_1$ and let $\overline{I}_m = \overline{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cap I_m$.

[7] Note that this means we have an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \mathcal{O}_p \cong M_2(\mathcal{O}_p)$, where $\mathcal{O}_D$ is the maximal order of D and $\mathcal{O}_p = \mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ and this then induces an isomorphism $D_p := G_D(F_p) = D \otimes_F F_p \cong M_2(F_p)$.

Furthermore, we set

$$T^+ = \left\{t \in T(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mid tN(\mathbb{Z}_p)t^{-1} \subseteq N(\mathbb{Z}_p)\right\} = \left\{\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \in T(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mid ab^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_p\right\}$$

and

$$T^{++} = \left\{t \in T(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mid \bigcap_{i>0} t^i N(\mathbb{Z}_p)t^{-i} = \{1\}\right\} = \left\{\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \in T(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mid ab^{-1} \in p\mathcal{O}_p\right\}.$$

With this we define the semigroup $\Delta = IT^+I$. Note that the Iwahori decomposition tells us that

$$I = \overline{I}_1 T(\mathbb{Z}_p) N(\mathbb{Z}_p),$$

and hence any $\delta \in \Delta$ can be written uniquely as $\delta = \overline{n}_\delta t_\delta n_\delta$ with $\overline{n}_\delta \in \overline{I}_1, t_\delta \in T^+, n_\delta \in N(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

(c) Following [Urb11], we have a splitting ξ of the canonical projection

$$T(\mathbb{Q}_p) \longrightarrow T(\mathbb{Q}_p)/T(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

such that if λ_κ is an algebraic weight (see 2.1.12 for its definition) and $t \in T(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, then $\lambda_\kappa(\xi(t)) = |\lambda_\kappa(t)|_p^{-1}$, where by abuse of notation we have also denoted by ξ the composite

$$T(\mathbb{Q}_p) \longrightarrow T(\mathbb{Q}_p)/T(\mathbb{Z}_p) \longrightarrow T(\mathbb{Q}_p).$$

This amounts to a specific normalization of our Hecke operators at $\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma_p$ analogous what is done in [Hid88].

Definition 4.1.2. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\Sigma_\infty}$, let V_k be the L-vector space with basis of monomials $\prod_{v\in\Sigma_\infty} Z_v^{m_v}$, with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\Sigma_\infty}$, $0 \leq m_v \leq k_v - 2$. For n, ν as we had before and $k = n + 2$, we are going to define a right action of $\Delta = IT^+I$ on this space.

- For $\gamma = (\gamma_i)_{i\in\Sigma_p} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{smallmatrix}\right)_i \in I$, let

$$\gamma : \prod_{v\in\Sigma_\infty} Z_v^{m_v} \longmapsto \prod_{v\in\Sigma_\infty} (c_v Z_v + d_v)^{n_v} \det(\gamma_v)^{\nu_v} \left(\frac{a_v Z_v + b_v}{c_v Z_v + d_v}\right)^{m_v}$$

- For $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in T^+$, let

$$\gamma : \prod_{v\in\Sigma_\infty} Z_v^{m_v} \longmapsto \lambda_k(\xi(\gamma^\iota)) \prod_{v\in\Sigma_\infty} (a_v d_v^{-1} Z_v)^{m_v},$$

where $\gamma^\iota = \det(g)\gamma^{-1}$. Note that here (following [Buz07]) we have adopted the notation that for a_i (resp. b_i, c_i, d_i) we let a_v (resp. b_v, c_v, d_v) denote the image of a_i under the corresponding map $\iota \circ \iota_v$, as Notation 1.1.1 (5).

Let $V_{n,\nu}(L)$ denote the resulting Δ-module[8].

Definition 4.1.3. Let U be an open compact subgroup of $G_D(\mathbb{A}_f)$, such that its image under the projection $U \longrightarrow D_p^\times$ lies in I_m for some m and let $k \in \mathcal{W}^G$ be a classical weight. The space of **quaternionic modular forms** over D of weight k and level U, with an L-rational structure, denoted $S_k^D(U)$ is the space of functions

$$f : G_D(\mathbb{A}_f) \longrightarrow V_{n,\nu}(L)$$

[8]Note that since we have chosen weights such that $n + 2\nu$ is parallel, $\mathcal{O}_F^{\times,+}$ will act trivially, when embedded diagonally into I via $\mathcal{O}_F \to \mathcal{O}_p \to M_2(\mathcal{O}_p)$.

such that:

(a) For $\gamma \in G_D(\mathbb{Q})$, we have $f(\gamma g) = f(g)$ for all $g \in G_D(\mathbb{A})$.
(b) For $u \in U$ we have $f(g) = f(gu^{-1}) \cdot u_p$ for all $g \in G_D(\mathbb{A})$, where u_p denotes the p-part of u.

We will only be interested in the congruence following subgroups.

Definition 4.1.4. Let $\mathfrak{n} = \prod_v \mathfrak{q}_v^{e_v}$ be an integral ideal. For D a quaternion algebra[9] with discriminant $\mathfrak{d}$ and $(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{d}) = 1$, we let

$$U_1(\mathfrak{n}) := \left\{ \gamma \in (\mathcal{O}_D \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})^\times \mid \gamma \equiv \left(\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \mod \mathfrak{n} \right\}$$
$$U_0(\mathfrak{n}) := \left\{ \gamma \in (\mathcal{O}_D \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})^\times \mid \gamma \equiv \left(\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{smallmatrix}\right) \mod \mathfrak{n} \right\}$$
$$U(\mathfrak{n}) := \left\{ \gamma \in (\mathcal{O}_D \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})^\times \mid \gamma \equiv 1 \mod \mathfrak{n} \right\}.$$

4.1.5. Let $U \subset G_D(\mathbb{A}_f)$ be an open compact subgroup and let $G_D(\mathbb{A}_f) = \coprod_i G_D(\mathbb{Q}) t_i U$. For each i set

$$K_i(U) = G_D(\mathbb{Q}) \cap t_i U t_i^{-1},$$

and recall that $\overline{K_i(U)} = K_i(U)/\mathcal{O}_F^\times$ is ***finite***.

Definition 4.1.6. We say that U **neat** if $\overline{K_i(U)}$ is trivial for all t_i.

4.2. **Overconvergent spaces.** We are now going to define the spaces of overconvergent modular forms for D. For this we need to find a larger Δ-module containing $V_{n,\nu}(L)$, so work with the spaces of locally analytic functions.

Definition 4.2.1. Let $X \subset \mathbb{Q}_p^r$ be open and compact. For a finite extension $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$, we say a function $f : X \to L$ is L-**analytic** if it can be expressed as a converging power series

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_r) = \sum_{n_1, \ldots, n_r} \alpha_{n_1, \ldots, n_r} (x_1 - a_1)^{n_1} \cdots (x_r - a_r)^{n_r},$$

for $\alpha_{n_1, \ldots n_r} \in L$, and some $(a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in X$. We say it is **algebraic** if almost all α's are zero.

Definition 4.2.2. Let $\mathcal{A}(X, L, n)$ be the L-vector space of n-**locally analytic functions**, i.e. functions that are analytic on balls of radius p^{-n} covering X. Then $\mathcal{A}_n(X, L)$ is a p-adic Banach space when X is compact. We let

$$\mathcal{A}(X, L) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{A}(X, L, n).$$

This is the space of functions $f : X \to L$ that are n-locally L-analytic for some n.

We now define the Δ-modules that we will be interested in:

Definition 4.2.3. We begin by identifying $\mathcal{O}_p$ with an open compact subset of $\mathbb{Q}_p^g$ compatible with the identification of I as an open compact of $\mathbb{Q}_p^{4g}$. We then consider

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_p, L) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_p, L, n).$$

This is a Δ-module with the following action. For $\kappa \in \mathcal{W}^G(L)$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_p, L)$ define[10]:

[9] Note that if we take $D = M_2(F)$ one obtains the usual level structures for Hilbert modular forms.
[10] Note that before we had a left action, but here we are defining a right action by using inverses.

- For $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in I$ and $z \in \mathcal{O}_p$, let
$$(f \cdot \gamma)(z) = \frac{\kappa(cz+d)}{(cz+d)^2}\nu(\det(\gamma))f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right).$$
- For $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in T^+$, let
$$(f \cdot \gamma)(z) = \lambda_\kappa(\xi(\gamma^\iota))f(ad^{-1}z)$$

(Note that by [Buz07, Lemma 8.1] gives us that $f \cdot \gamma \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_p, L)$). We denote this module by $\mathcal{A}_\kappa(\mathcal{O}_p, L)$.

Lemma 4.2.4. *For $\kappa \in \mathcal{W}^G$ there exists a smallest n_κ, such that for all $n \geq n_\kappa$, κ is n-locally analytic, and hence so are ω, r.*

Proof. See [Urb11, Lemma 3.2.5]. □

From this it follows that
$$\mathcal{A}_\kappa(\mathcal{O}_p, L) = \bigcup_{n \geq n_\kappa} \mathcal{A}_\kappa(\mathcal{O}_p, L, n),$$
where $\mathcal{A}_\kappa(\mathcal{O}_p, L, n)$ is the Δ-module $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_p, L, n)$ with the action defined as above. More generally, since we wish to consider families of modular forms, one can extend this definition as follows:

Definition 4.2.5. If $\mathfrak{U}$ is an affinoid subdomain of $\mathcal{W}^G$ defined over a finite extension $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\kappa^{\mathfrak{U}}$ is the restriction of the universal character to $\mathfrak{U}$, then we define
$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{U}}(\mathcal{O}_p, L) := \mathcal{A}_{\kappa^{\mathfrak{U}}}(\mathcal{O}_p, L),$$
with the action of Δ defined analogously.

It follows from [Urb11, Lemma 3.4.6], that there exist a smallest integer $n(\mathfrak{U})$ such that $\kappa^{\mathfrak{U}}$ is $n(\mathfrak{U})$-analytic. Moreover,
$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{U}}(\mathcal{O}_p, L) = \bigcup_{n \geq n(\mathfrak{U})} \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{U}}(\mathcal{O}_p, L, n).$$

Lemma 4.2.6. *Let $\mathfrak{U} \subset \mathcal{W}^G$ be an affinoid subdomain defined over L, $\kappa \in \mathfrak{U}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$, and $n \geq n(\mathfrak{U})$. Then we have a canonical bicontinuous isomorphism*
$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{U}}(\mathcal{O}_p, L, n) \cong \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{U})\widehat{\otimes}_L \mathcal{A}_\kappa(\mathcal{O}_p, L, n).$$
In particular, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{U}}(\mathcal{O}_p, L, n)$ is a non-trivial $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{U})$-ONable Banach space and for $n \geq n(\mathfrak{U})$, the inclusion map $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{U}}(\mathcal{O}_p, L, n) \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{U}}(\mathcal{O}_p, L, n+1)$ is completely continuous.

Proof. This follows from [Urb11, Section 3.4.4]. Specifically, it follows from Lemma 3.4.9, Corollary 3.4.10 and Remark 3.4.12 of *loc. cit.* (where $\kappa^{\mathfrak{U}}$ is denoted by $\langle . \rangle_{\mathfrak{U}}$).

□

Definition 4.2.7. Let $\kappa \in \mathcal{W}^G(L)$ and U be an open compact subgroup of $G_D(\mathbb{A}_f)$, such that its image under the projection $U \to D_p^\times$ lies in I_m, and $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ is such that $t + m \geq n_\kappa$. The space of overconvergent quaternionic modular forms of weight κ, level U and radius of overconvergence p^t, denoted $S_\kappa^{D,\dagger}(U, t)$ is the space of functions
$$f : G_D(\mathbb{A}_f) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_\kappa(\mathcal{O}_p, L, t)$$
such that:

(a) For $d \in G_D(\mathbb{Q})$, we have $f(dg) = f(g)$ for all $g \in G_D(\mathbb{A})$.
(b) For $\gamma \in U$ we have $f(g) = f(g\gamma^{-1}) \cdot \gamma_p$ for all $g \in G_D(\mathbb{A})$, where γ_p is the p-part of γ.

If $\mathfrak{U} \subset \mathcal{W}^G$ is an affinoid subdomain defined over L and $t \geq n(\mathfrak{U})$, then we define $S_{\mathfrak{U}}^{D,\dagger}(U,t)$ to be the space of functions

$$f : G_D(\mathbb{A}_f) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{U}}(\mathcal{O}_p, L, t)$$

satisfying $(a), (b)$ above.

Remark 4.2.8. Looking at Lemma 4.2.6 and noting that $N(\mathbb{Z}_p)_n^{rig} \cong \boldsymbol{B}_{p^{-n}}$ (in the respective notations of [Urb11] and [Buz07]), then it can be seen that the spaces we have defined above agree with the ones defined by Buzzard, except that we are working with a different weight space and we have only given them an action by Δ and not $\boldsymbol{M}_t$, where $\boldsymbol{M}_t$ is the monoid defined in [Buz07, Section 9], which contains Δ. In particular, this means that the results of [Buz07] are valid here, with minor modifications.

Notation 4.2.9. Let $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denote the uniformisers of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_p$ be the element whose $\mathfrak{p}$ component of $\mathcal{O}_p$ is $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By abuse of notation we also let π denote the ideal of $\mathcal{O}_F$ which is the product of all the primes ideals above p, i.e., the radical of $p\mathcal{O}_F$.

We now have the following useful result which describes how the radius of overconvergence and the level are linked.

Proposition 4.2.10. *Let U be an open compact subgroup of the form $U_i(\mathfrak{n})$ for $i \in \{\emptyset, 0, 1\}$ with $p \nmid \mathfrak{n}$ and let $\kappa \in \mathcal{W}^G$. If we take s_1, s_2 such that $\sigma_{s_1} + \sigma_{s_2} + t \geq n_\kappa$, then we have a canonical isomorphism*

$$S_\kappa^{D,\dagger}(U \cap U_0(\pi^{s_2}), t + \sigma_{s_1}) \cong S_\kappa^{D,\dagger}(U \cap U_0(\pi^{s_1+s_2}), t),$$

where $\sigma_* = \log_p(|\pi^*|)$. Moreover, this isomorphism is Hecke equivariant.

Proof. See [Buz07, Proposition 11.1] □

Remark 4.2.11. Note that in this way we can view classical forms of level $U_0(\pi^s)$ and non-trivial character at p as "part of" our eigenvariety of level $U_0(\pi)$.

4.3. **Hecke operators and the Control Theorem**. Following Section 12 of [Buz07], we can define the Hecke operators on these spaces.

Notation 4.3.1. If v is a finite place of F, such that D_v is split, then let $\eta_v \in D_f^\times$ be the element which is the identity at all places different from v and at v it is the matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \pi_v & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, for π_v a uniformizer of F_v. In order to ease notation later on, when $v|p$ we choose the same uniformizers as we had before. From now on we will assume that U is of the form $U_i(\mathfrak{n}) \cap U_j(\mathfrak{m})$ for any $i, j \in \{\emptyset, 0, 1\}$ where $\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{m}$ are ideal coprime to $disc(D)$ and π is coprime to $\mathfrak{nm}$.

Definition 4.3.2. For $U' = U \cap U_i(\pi^s)$ with U as above, we call $\mathfrak{nm}$ the **tame level** and π^s the **wild level**.

Definition 4.3.3. For each v as above, we define the Hecke operators T_v as the double coset operators given by $[U\eta_v U]$. Moreover, if v is coprime to $\mathfrak{nm}$, then we can regard π_v as an element of the centre of $D_f^\times$ and we denote by S_v the operator $[U\pi_v U]$. Lastly, for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma_p$ let $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denote the operator $T_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and let $U_p = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma_p} U_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We denote by $\boldsymbol{T} = \boldsymbol{T}(U)$, the Hecke algebra generated by the operators[11] $T_{\mathfrak{q}}, U_{\mathfrak{p}}$, where $\mathfrak{q}$ does not divide the tame level, and $\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma_p$.

[11]Note that these operators are independent of choice of uniformizer for v not dividing p.

We now want to show that the overconvergent quaternionic modular forms of small slope are classical. To do this we will follow the proof of the case $F = \mathbb{Q}$ in [Buz04, Section 7]. We begin with some preliminaries.

Lemma 4.3.4. *The U_p operator acting on $S^{D,\dagger}_{\mathfrak{U}}(U \cap U_0(\pi^s), t)$ for $s + t \geq n(\mathfrak{U})$ is compact. In particular, this holds for the spaces $S^{D,\dagger}_{\kappa}(U \cap U_0(\pi^s), t)$ for $s + t \geq n_\kappa$.*

Proof. See [Buz07, Lemma 12.2] or [Urb11, Lemma 3.2.8].

□

Proposition 4.3.5. *Let U be as above and let $f \in S^{D,\dagger}_{\kappa}(U \cap U_0(\pi^s), t)$ with $\sigma_s + t \geq n_\kappa$. If $U_p f = \lambda f$ for some non-zero λ, then $f \in S^{D,\dagger}_{\kappa}(U \cap U_0(\pi^s), t')$, with $t' \leq t$ such that $t' + \sigma_s \geq n_\kappa$.*

Proof. This follows easily by noting that $U_p f \in S^{D,\dagger}_{\kappa}(U \cap U_0(\pi^s), t - \sigma)$, where $\sigma = \sigma_1$ and then using Proposition 4.2.10.

□

Definition 4.3.6. Let $\kappa = 2w - r$ be a algebraic weight and let [12]

$$\kappa_i = (k_1, \ldots, k_{i-1}, 2 - k_i, k_{i+1}, \ldots k_g).$$

For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, g\}$ corresponding to a place in $v_i \in \Sigma_\infty$, we define a map

$$\theta_i : S^{D,\dagger}_{\kappa}(U, 0) \longrightarrow S^{D,\dagger}_{\kappa_i}(U, 0)$$

by setting

$$(\theta_i(f))(h) = \frac{\partial^{k_i - 1} f(h)}{\partial z_i^{k_i - 1}}$$

for $h \in G_D(\mathbb{A}_f)$.

4.3.7. Note that $f(h) \in \mathcal{A}_{k,0}$ so it can be written as a converging power series in variables $(z_1, \ldots, z_g)$, so $\frac{\partial^{k_i-1} f(h)}{\partial z_i^{k_i-1}}$ makes sense. Moreover, one need to check that θ_i is actually well-defined, but this follows at once from the simple check that for any $\gamma \in I$ we have $\theta_i(f)|_\gamma = \theta_i(f|_\gamma)$.

Definition 4.3.8. Let κ be a classical weight, with (n, ν) as usual. For each prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}_j \in \Sigma_p$ we define $\omega_{\mathfrak{p}_j}(\kappa) = \sum_{i \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}_j}} \nu_i$. See Section 1 for the definition of $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$.

Theorem 4.3.9 (The Control Theorem). *Let U' be a compact open of level coprime to π and $U = U' \cap U_1(\pi^n)$ for any $n \geq 0$ and let k be a classical weight. Let $f \in S^{D,\dagger}_{k}(U, t)$ be an eigenform for each $U_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ with eigenvalue $\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ and let λ_p be the eigenvalue of U_p, which we assume is non-zero. If for each $\mathfrak{p}_i | p$ we have*

$$\mathrm{val}_p(\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}_i}) < \frac{\omega_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(k) + \min_{j \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}_i}}\{k_j - 1\}}{e_{\mathfrak{p}_i}},$$

where $e_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ is the ramification degree, then $f \in S^D_k(U)$ (in other words, f is classical).

[12] Note that if $\kappa = 2w - r$ then $\kappa_i = 2w' - r$ where $w'_j = w_j$ for $j \neq i$ and $w'_i = \nu_i$.

Proof. First note that if $\theta_i(f) = 0$ for all i then f must in fact be classical. The problem is now to give a criterion for f to be in this kernel based only on the slope of f. For this one uses the fact that if any eigenform of $U_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ with negative slope must in fact be zero (since $U_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ has operator norm 1) and that θ_i sends $U_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$-eigenforms of slope α to $U_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$-eigenforms of slope $\alpha - \frac{\omega_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(k)+\min_{j\in\Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}_i}}\{k_j-1\}}{e_{\mathfrak{p}_i}}$.

See (for example)[Urb11, Proposition 3.2.11] or [BW16, Theorem 8.7] for a more detailed proof of this. □

Remark 4.3.10. One can renormalize our operators by setting $U'_{\mathfrak{p}} = \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}(k)} U_{\mathfrak{p}}$, in which case we can remove the $\omega_p(k)$ from the above theorem.

Using the above and Section 2 we can construct the eigenvariety associated to overconvergent quaternionic modular forms for D/F. Note that for this we will not need the control theorem given above, but it will be of great importance later on, when we begin comparing eigenvarieties.

Theorem 4.3.11. *Let $U = U_i(\mathfrak{n}) \cap U_0(\pi)$ for $i \in \{\emptyset, 0, 1\}$ and where π is coprime to $\mathfrak{n}$. Let $\mathscr{Z}(U_p)$ be the spectral variety as before and $\boldsymbol{T}(U)$ the Hecke algebra consisting of all operators away from $\mathfrak{n}$ and $disc(D)$. Lastly, let $S^{D,\dagger}(U)$ be the coherent sheaf given by the Banach modules $S^{D,\dagger}_{\mathfrak{U}}(U,t)$ (for $t + \sigma \geq n(\mathfrak{U})$ and σ as before) where $\mathfrak{U} \subset \mathcal{W}^G$ is an affinoid subdomain.*

Associated to the eigendata of $(\mathcal{W}^G, \mathscr{Z}(U_p), S^{D,\dagger}(U), \boldsymbol{T}(U), \psi)$ we have an eigenvariety $\mathscr{X}_D$ which is equidimensional of dimension $g+1$ and satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.3.3.

Proof. The existence of such an eigenvariety and the fact that it is equidimensional follows from [Buz07, Section 13]. The fact that is it is equidimensional of dimension $g+1$ is due to the weight space that we have used. □

5. p-adic Langlands functoriality

In this section we will relate the different eigenvarieties we have defined in the previous section. In particular, we prove the following:

Theorem 5.1.12. *Let $\mathscr{X}_G$ and $\mathscr{X}_D$ be as in Theorems 3.1.19 and 4.3.11, respectively. The classical Jacquet-Langlands correspondence can be interpolated to obtain a closed immersion $\mathscr{X}_D^{red} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{X}_G^{red}$ satisfying the properties of Theorem 2.4.5.*

Corollary 5.1.13. *If g is even, then taking D to be totally definite with $\mathrm{Disc}(D) = 1$, the closed immersion given by Theorem 5.1.12 becomes an isomorphism.*

We derive Theorem 5.1.12 from Theorem 2.4.5. To this end, we need to exhibit a very Zariski dense set $X \subset \mathcal{W}^G$ on which we can put classical structures for both sets of eigenvariety data. The set of all classical weights (see Definition 2.1.7) is such a candidate. This is a well-known fact but we include its proof for the sake of completeness. This requires the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1.14. *If W is a non-empty rigid space. Then W is irreducible if and only if the only analytic subset $Z \subset W$ which set-theoretically contains a non-empty admissible open of W is $Z = W$.*

Proof. See [Con99, Lemma 2.2.3].

□

Proposition 5.1.15. *Let X be the set of classical weights, then X is very Zariski dense in $\mathcal{W}^G$.*

Proof. This is a simple generalization of [Ram09, Lemma 4.1]. By 2.1.3 we have that

$$\mathcal{W}^G \cong H^\vee \times B(1,1)^{g+1} := \coprod_i \mathcal{W}_i,$$

where $\mathcal{W}_i = B(1,1)^{g+1}$ and we index over the elements of $H^\vee$. Let $\kappa_{\chi\tau^a}$ be a classical weight with $\kappa = 2w + r$, χ and τ^a as in Definition 2.1.7. Then under the above isomorphism

$$\kappa_{\chi\tau^a} \mapsto \left(\widehat{\kappa}_{\chi\tau^a}, \left[\prod_j^g (1+p)^{w_j} \right], (1+p)^r \right),$$

where $\widehat{\kappa}_{\chi\tau^a}$ denotes the restriction to $H^\vee$ (note that $\kappa_{\chi\tau^a} \in \mathcal{W}^G(E)$, with $E = \mathbb{Q}_p[\chi]$). Assume that $\kappa_{\chi\tau^a} \in \mathcal{W}_i$ for some i and take any irreducible admissible affinoid open $V \subset \mathcal{W}^G$ that contains $\kappa_{\chi\tau^a}$. Then $V \subset \mathcal{W}_i$ and moreover, since $V(E)$ is open, there exists $\boldsymbol{s} = (s, s') \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}^{\Sigma_\infty} \times \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ such that the closed ball of radius $\boldsymbol{s}$ around $\kappa_{\chi\tau^a}$ is contained in V, i.e.,

$$B[\kappa_{\chi\tau^a}, \boldsymbol{s}] := \prod_j^g B[w_j, s_j] \times B[r, s'] \subset V.$$

By Lemma 5.1.14, we see that if $B[\kappa_{\chi\tau^a}, \boldsymbol{s}] \cap X$ is Zariski dense in $B[\kappa_{\chi\tau^a}, \boldsymbol{s}]$, then $V(E) \cap X$ is Zariski dense in V, which is what we want to prove. So we are reduced to showing that $B[\kappa_{\chi\tau^a}, \boldsymbol{s}](E) \cap X$ is Zariski dense in $B[\kappa_{\chi\tau^a}, \boldsymbol{s}]$. This is the same as showing that $B[\kappa, \boldsymbol{s}]$ contains infinitely many elements of X. For $\kappa, \kappa' \in X$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} |\kappa - \kappa'| &= \max_i \{ |(1+p)^{w_i} - (1+p)^{w_i'}|_p, |(1+p)^r - (1+p)^{r'}|_p \} \\ &= \max_i \{ |(1+p)^{w_i - w_i'} - 1|_p, |(1+p)^{r-r'} - 1|_p \} \end{aligned}$$

So take $\kappa'_{\chi\tau^b} \in X$, where $\kappa' = 2w' + r'$ is such that $w_i \equiv w_i' \mod (p-1)p^N$, $r \equiv r' \mod (p-1)p^N$ (for N large enough), they have the same parity and a, b are such that $\kappa_{\chi\tau^a}, \kappa'_{\chi\tau^b}$ lie in the same component of the weight space. Then we can easily see that $B[\kappa_{\chi\tau^a}, \boldsymbol{s}]$ contains infinitely many elements of X. Hence we get the result.

□

Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_F$ with $(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{d}) = 1$ and $\pi \nmid \mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{d}$, where $\mathfrak{d} = \mathrm{Disc}(D)$. Let $U = U_i(\mathfrak{n}) \cap U_j(\pi)$, for $i \in \{\emptyset, 0, 1\}$ and we also set $\boldsymbol{T} := \boldsymbol{T}(U)$ to be the Hecke algebra. Let U' be the corresponding level structure when one takes $D = M_2(F)$ in Definition 4.1.4, which gives the level structure in the Hilbert modular form case. Then the classical Jacquet-Langlands gives us the following:

Theorem 5.1.16 (The Jacquet-Langlands correspondence). *Let k be a classical weight in $\mathcal{W}^G$ and U, U' as above. There is an isomorphism*

$$S_k^D(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} S_k^{\mathfrak{d}\text{-}new}(U'(\mathfrak{d})),$$

where $U'(\mathfrak{d})$ now has tame level $\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{d}$.

Remark 5.1.17. We note that, for g even, we can pick the quaternion algebra D to be totally definite with $\mathfrak{d} = 1$. In that case, we can choose $U = U'$ (after fixing a splitting), and Theorem 5.1.16 gives an isomorphism of Hecke modules

$$S_k^D(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} S_k(U).$$

However, for g odd, since D is totally definite, we must have $\mathfrak{d} \neq 1$. In that case, Theorem 5.1.16 gives an isomorphism of Hecke modules

$$S_k^D(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} S_k^{\mathfrak{d}\text{-new}}(U') \hookrightarrow S_k(U').$$

Theorem 5.1.12 then follows from the following:

Theorem 5.1.18. *Let $\mathscr{X}_G$ and $\mathscr{X}_D$ be the eigenvarieties associated to the eigendata*

$$\mathfrak{D}_1 = (\mathcal{W}^G, \mathscr{Z}_1(U_p), S^{G,\dagger}(U), \boldsymbol{T}, \psi_1)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{D}_2 = (\mathcal{W}^G, \mathscr{Z}_2(U_p), S^{D,\dagger}(U'), \boldsymbol{T}, \psi_2)$$

respectively. Then we can interpolate the classical Jacquet-Langlands correspondence and obtain a closed immersion $\iota_D : \mathscr{X}_D^{red} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{X}_G^{red}$.

Proof. We will prove this using Theorem 2.4.5. Let X be the set of classical weights, which we will denote by k. We now define classical structure on X: For each $k \in X$, let $\mathscr{M}_G^{cl}(k)$ and $\mathscr{M}_D^{cl}(k)$ be the $\boldsymbol{T}$-modules $S_k(U')$ and $S_k^D(U)$ respectively of classical cusp forms of weight k and level Γ, U respectively. We need check that this is indeed a classical structure. Pick $h \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Then the set of $k \in X$ such that $S_k^{G,\dagger}(U')^{\leq h} \subset \mathscr{M}_G^{cl}(k)$ contains all $k \in \mathcal{W}^G$, such that

$$h < \omega_p(k) + \min_{j \in \Sigma_\infty} \{k_j - 1\}$$

by part (e) of Theorem 3.1.19, and hence satisfies the properties of Definition 2.4.3. Recall that the superscript $\leq h$ denotes slope decomposition with respect to U_p.

Similarly, if $k \in X$ is such that[13]

$$h < \omega_p(k) + \min_{i \in \Sigma_\infty} \{k_i - 1\},$$

then $S_k^{D,\dagger}(U, 0)^{\leq h} \subset \mathscr{M}_D^{cl}(k)$. It follows that we again have a classical structure. Now, as a consequence of the classical Jacquet-Langlands correspondence we have that

$$\det\left(1 - U_p X_{|\mathscr{M}_D^{cl}(k)}\right) \text{ divides } \det\left(1 - U_p X_{|\mathscr{M}_G^{cl}(k)}\right).$$

Hence we can apply Theorem 2.4.5 to obtain the closed immersion $\iota_D : \mathscr{X}_D^{red} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{X}_G^{red}$. □

If we now observe that if g is even, then we can pick D to be totally definite *and* have trivial discriminant. Thus the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence tells us that in fact $\mathscr{M}_G^{cl} \cong \mathscr{M}_D^{cl}$, and thus

$$\det(1 - U_p X \mid \mathscr{M}_D^{cl}(k)) = \det(1 - U_p X \mid \mathscr{M}_G^{cl}(k))$$

therefore Corollary 2.4.6 gives us an isomorphism $\mathscr{X}_D^{red} \cong \mathscr{X}_G^{red}$. This proves most of Corollary 5.1.13, it only remains to show that the eigenvarieties are reduced which we will derive from Lemma 2.4.7.

Proposition 5.1.19. *Fix $h \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq} 0$. There is a Zariski dense subset (of $\mathcal{W}^G$) $X' \subset X$ such that for all $k \in X'$, we have $\mathscr{M}^{cl,\leq h}(k)$ is a semisimple $\boldsymbol{T}$-module.*

[13] Note that we are in the case where p is unramified.

In order to prove this we will first need two Lemmas. We begin by noting that since we are assuming that g is even, then (with our set-up) the classical Jacquet-Langlands correspondence gives us that if $\mathscr{M}_G^{cl,\leq h}(k)$ is a semisimple $\boldsymbol{T}$-module, then so is $\mathscr{M}_D^{cl,\leq h}(k)$.

To ease notation, we let

$$V_k^h := \mathscr{M}^{cl,\leq h}(k) = S_k(U')^{\leq h}.$$

Now, since we are working with classical Hilbert modular forms, the action of the Hecke operators can be described by their action on q-expansions. Next we note that the only Hecke operators that might not be semisimple are the $U_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$, for $p\mathcal{O}_F = \prod_i \mathfrak{p}_i$. This is because all the other operators are normal (commute with their adjoints), so they are semisimple. Hence we must show that for each i, the operators $U_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ act semisimply on the space of cusp forms of slope $\leq h$. In fact we shall show that $U_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ acts semisimply on $V_{k'}^h$ for infinitely many $k' \in X$. Lastly, we need to relate slope decomposition of V_k^h with respect to U_p, to the slope decompositions with respect to the $U_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$. To do this we have the following Lemma:

Lemma 5.1.20. *Let S be a Banach space, on which we have pairwise commuting operators U_i for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, all of which have operator norm ≤ 1 (which means they have positive slopes) and we have $U = \prod_i U_i$ a compact operator. Then the slopes of the U_i operators acting on the space $S^{\leq h}$ (this is the slope decomposition with respect to U) are all $\leq h$.*

Proof. By definition we have that $S^{\leq h}$ is a finite dimensional subspace of S. Therefore by choosing a basis we can view the U_i operators as matrices. Now since the U_i are pairwise commuting operators, we can simultaneously upper triangularize them (after possibly extending the base field). From this it follows that the eigenvalues of U acting on $S^{\leq h}$ are the product of the eigenvalues of the U_i.

Now since the slopes of an operator are simply the p-adic valuation of its eigenvalues, we have that on $S^{\leq h}$ the slopes of U are the sum of the slopes of the U_i operators and therefore, since they all have positive slopes, it follows that the slopes of the U_i acting on $S^{\leq h}$ are all $\leq h$ as required.

□

Applying this to our situation we see that since $U_p = \prod_i U_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ is compact, then we have a slope decomposition for any h. Moreover, for each h we have that the slope of each $U_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ acting on V_k^h is less than or equal to h. With this we can prove the following Lemma:

Lemma 5.1.21. *There is a Zariski dense subset $X' \subset \mathcal{W}^G$, such that for each i, $U_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ acts semisimply on V_k^h for $k \in X'$.*

Proof. Using Lemma 5.1.20 the result follows *mutatis mutandis* from the classical case, as is done in [Bel12, Theorem 3.30], or from the proof of [CE98, Theorem 4.2]. But for completeness we do it here.

First note that we can decompose V_k^h into its $\mathfrak{p}_i$-new and $\mathfrak{p}_i$-old parts. The action of $U_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ on $V_k^{h,\mathfrak{p}_i-new}$ is normal and hence diagonalizable. With this we are reduced to showing that this operator acts semisimply on $V_k^{h,\mathfrak{p}_i-old}$. In order to prove this, it is enough to show that on each generalized $\boldsymbol{T}$-eigenspace of $V_k^{h,\mathfrak{p}_i-old}$ it acts semisimply. Each of these spaces will correspond to a newform f of (lower) level not divisible by $\mathfrak{p}_i$. Now, let $a_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = \boldsymbol{a}(\mathfrak{p}_i, f|T_{\mathfrak{p}_i})$ be the $T_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ eigenvalue of f and ψ its nebentypus. Since we are assuming that for each i, we have $(\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{p}_i) = 1$, then it follows from Atkin-Lehner Theory that each of these $\mathfrak{p}_i$-old subspaces is 2-dimensional, and

generated by f and $f|B_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ (see [SW93], for the definition of $B_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$). A simple calculation then shows that on this subspace the $U_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ operator has minimal polynomial given by

$$X^2 - a_{\mathfrak{p}_i}X + N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p}_i)^{k_0-1}\psi(\mathfrak{p}_i),$$

where again $k_0 = \max_i k_i$.

Therefore, since $N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p}_i) = p^{l_{\mathfrak{p}_i}}$ (here $l_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ is the residue degree), we see that if we pick $k = (w, r)$, such that $k_0 > (2h + l_{\mathfrak{p}_i})/l_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$, then $h < l_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(k_0 - 1)/2$. Therefore, the polynomial must have a unique root α with valuation $\leq h$, from which it follows that on the generalized $\boldsymbol{T}$-eigenspace of $V_k^{h,\mathfrak{p}_i-old}$ corresponding to f, we have that $U_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ acts as the scalar α. Hence it is diagonalizable. This then shows that on V_k^h the $U_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ operators act semisimply for $k = (w, r)$ large enough as required

□

From this it follows that for any $h \geq 0$, the operators $U_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ act semisimply on $S_k(\Gamma)^{\leq h}$ for k in a Zariski dense subset of $\mathcal{W}^G$, proving Proposition 5.1.19. Then by Lemma 2.4.7, we have at once that $\mathscr{X}_D^{red} \cong \mathscr{X}_D$ and $\mathscr{X}_G^{red} \cong \mathscr{X}_G$, which proves Corollary 5.1.13.

Remark 5.1.22. In light of Theorem 5.1.18 and Remark 5.1.17, we see that for $g(= [F : \mathbb{Q}])$ even and D totally definite with $\mathfrak{d} = 1$, we have an isomorphism of eigenvarieties $\iota_D : \mathscr{X}_D^{red} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{X}_G^{red}$. However, for g odd and D totally definite, the closed immersion $\iota_D : \mathscr{X}_D^{red} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{X}_G^{red}$ is never an isomorphism since $\mathfrak{d} \neq 1$.

Remark 5.1.23. We note that, for g odd, there are are alternative constructions for the eigenvariety $\mathscr{X}_D^{red}$. Let D be the quaternion algebra ramified at all infinite places but one, with $\mathfrak{d} = 1$. Brasca [Bra13] to construct an eigenvariety associated to $\mathscr{X}_D^{red}$ such that we have an isomorphism $\iota_D : \mathscr{X}_D^{red} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{X}_G^{red}$. His construction combines the theory of Shimura curves with work of Andreatta-Iovita-Pilloni to construct the relevant eigenvarieties. In the same set up, Hansen [Han] also proposed a construction of $\mathscr{X}_D^{red}$ which uses overconvergent cohomology.

6. **Applications**

In this section we will be using the overconvergent Jacquet-Langlands correspondence to compute slopes of overconvergent Hilbert modular forms. This reduces us to computing the slopes of overconvergent quaternionic modular forms which are more suited to explicit computations.

Throughout this section we will let F be a real quadratic field. In particular, for computational purposes we will work with $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ where $d = 5, 13, 17$ since these are real quadratic fields for which there exists a totally definite quaternion algebra D/F with trivial discriminant and class number one[14]. The fact that we work with a quaternion algebra that has class number one is simply to ease the computations, and one can most certainly work over any number field of even degree (or maybe even any degree) by adapting the work of Dembélé-Voight [DV13], but at the cost of increasing the computational complexity.

In order to make this section more self-contained, we recall/simply some of the notation introduced before:

Notation 6.1.24. (a) As before, we let $\mathcal{O}_p := \mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ for a rational prime p, which we assume is split or inert in $\mathcal{O}_F$. In the split case we write $p = \mathfrak{p}_1\mathfrak{p}_2$. In this case we have $\mathcal{O}_p \cong \mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}_1}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}_2}}$ and we let π be the element of $\mathcal{O}_p$, which is π_1 (the uniformizer of $\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}_1}}$) in the

[14] In fact $d = 2, 5, 13, 17$ are the only such examples, see [KV10].

first factor and π_2 in the second factor. In particular, when p is split we have $F_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \cong \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}_i}} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ and when p is inert $\mathcal{O}_p$ is simply the ring of integers of the degree 2 unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and we let π denote its uniformizer (which we can take to be just p). Lastly, throughout this section we denote our level structures $U_i(\mathfrak{n}\pi^s)$ simply by $U_i(\mathfrak{n}p^s)$.

(b) Recall that we have the following convention for the weights. We let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ be such that there exists $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that $n + 2\nu = (r, r)$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and where $\nu_i \geq 0$ with at least one of them being 0. Let $k = n + 2$, then $k = [k_1, k_2]$ will be such that k_1, k_2 have the same parity. We can then think of this a weight in $\mathcal{W}^G$. Let $\psi : (\mathcal{O}_p/\pi^s)^\times \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^\times$ denote a finite continuous character. We also let ψ denote the induced character on $\mathcal{O}_p^\times$. Now we let $\kappa_\psi = [k_1, k_2]\psi$ denote the arithmetic weight given by $\kappa_\psi(x) = x^k \cdot \psi(x)$.

(c) Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_F$ which is coprime to the ideal generated by p and let L be a complete extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ containing the image of ψ_s (in particular, in the split case this is some totally ramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and in the inert case this is a totally ramified extension of F_p).

From Section 4 we have that, in this setting, the spaces of overconvergent quaternionic modular forms are defined as follows:

Definition 6.1.25. Let $D_f^\times := G_D(\mathbb{A}_f)$ and $D^\times := G_D(\mathbb{Q})$. The space of overconvergent quaternionic modular forms of weight κ_ψ and level $U = U_0(\mathfrak{n}p^s)$, and radius of overconvergence p^0, denoted by $S_{\kappa_\psi}^{D,\dagger}(U_0(\mathfrak{n}p^s), 0)$, is defined as the vector space of functions

$$f : D^\times \backslash D_f^\times \longrightarrow L\langle X, Y\rangle$$

such that $f(dg) = f(g)$ for all $d \in D^\times$ and $f(gu^{-1}) \cdot_{\kappa_\psi} u_p = f(g)$ for all $u \in U_0(\mathfrak{n}p^s)$ and $g \in D_f^\times$. Here the action of $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{smallmatrix}\right)\right) \in I$ on $L\langle X, Y\rangle$ is given by

$$X^u Y^v \cdot_{\kappa_\psi} \gamma = \psi(d) H(\gamma_1, X, u) H(\gamma_2, Y, v),$$

where

$$H(\gamma_i, Z, t) = (a_i d_i - b_i c_i)^{v_i} (c_i Z + d_i)^{n_i} \left(\frac{a_i Z + b_i}{c_i Z + d_i}\right)^t.$$

Remark 6.1.26. In order for the space of modular form of weight $k = 2w - r$ and character ψ to be non-trivial, one requires that $\psi(x) = N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(x)^r$ for all $x \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times$, which we view as embedded in $\mathcal{O}_p^\times$ in the usual way.

Remark 6.1.27. In the split case, it is clear how to write γ as $\left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{smallmatrix}\right)\right)$ by using the the completions of $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2$. In the inert case, we simply let a_i (similarly for the other entries) be the images of a under both automorphisms of F_p.

Throughout this section we will always work with overconvergent modular forms with radius of overconvergence $p^0 = 1$, so we will simply denote these spaces by $S_{\kappa_\psi}^{D,\dagger}(U_0(\mathfrak{n}p^s))$. This is not a problem, as one can show that the characteristic polynomial of U_p does not depend on this radius.

6.1.28. Since D is totally definite, we have that $Y_D(U) := D^\times \backslash D_f^\times / U$ is just a finite number of points. Moreover, since D has class number one, then $D_f^\times = D^\times \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_D^\times$ and $D^\times \backslash D_f^\times = \mathcal{O}_D^\times \backslash \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_D^\times$, where $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_D$ is the adelization of a maximal order $\mathcal{O}_D$. Thus there is a bijection

$$D^\times \backslash D_f^\times / U \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_D^\times \backslash \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_D^\times / U$$

and we can write $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_D^\times = \coprod_{i=1}^h \mathcal{O}_D^\times t_i U$ for t_i suitable representatives[15]. In what follows we will use the above decomposition to write an element $x \in D_f^\times$ as du where $d \in D^\times, u \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_D^\times$. Moreover, we can use the above bijection to then write $u = d' t_i \gamma$ (for some i) where $d' \in \mathcal{O}_D^\times$ and $\gamma \in U_0(\mathfrak{n}p^s)$.

Now, following Dembélé, we can find the t_i by observing that

$$\mathcal{O}_D^\times \backslash \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_D^\times / U = \mathcal{O}_D^\times \backslash \mathbb{P}^1(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{n}p^s)$$

where $\mathbb{P}^1(A) := \{(a,b) \in A^2 \mid \exists (\alpha,\beta) \in A^2 \text{ such that } \alpha a - \beta b = 1\}/A^\times$.We note that

$$\mathbb{P}^1(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{n}p^s) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{n}p^s} \mathbb{P}^1(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}^{e_\mathfrak{p}}).$$

From this we can find the t_i by simply picking a representative

$$(a,b) = (a_\mathfrak{p}, b_\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{n}p^s} \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{n}p^s)$$

for each $\mathcal{O}_D^\times$-orbit, and then lifting this to the element of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_D^\times$ which is 1 at all places not diving $\mathfrak{n}p$ and at the places dividing the level we take $(\alpha_\mathfrak{p}, \beta_\mathfrak{p}) \in (\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}^{e_\mathfrak{p}})^2$ such that $a_\mathfrak{p}\alpha_\mathfrak{p} - b_\mathfrak{p}\beta_\mathfrak{p} = 1$ and let $(t_i)_\mathfrak{p} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a_\mathfrak{p} & b_\mathfrak{p} \\ \beta_\mathfrak{p} & \alpha_\mathfrak{p} \end{smallmatrix}\right)$.

Lemma 6.1.29. *There is an isomorphism*

$$S_{\kappa_\psi}^{D,\dagger}(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{i=1}^h L\langle X,Y\rangle^{K_i} \tag{1}$$

given by sending f to $(f(t_i))_i$, where $K_i(U)$ is as in 4.1.5.

Proof. Let $f \in S_{\kappa_\psi}^{D,\dagger}(U)$. For $g \in D_f^\times$ we can decompose it as $g = dt_i\gamma$ for some i and $d \in D^\times, \gamma \in U$. Now the image of g in $L\langle X,Y\rangle$ under f is given by

$$f(g) = f(dt_i\gamma) = f(t_i\gamma) = f(t_i)\cdot\gamma_p.$$

Therefore it is enough to know where the t_i are sent. But note that if $\gamma \in K_i$, then $\gamma = t_i^{-1}dt_i$ for some $d \in D^\times$ and thus

$$f(t_i) = f(t_i t_i^{-1} dt_i) = f(t_i)\cdot\gamma_p,$$

from which we see that the image must be in $L\langle X,Y\rangle^{K_i}$.

□

Remark 6.1.30. We note here that it is always possible choose $\mathfrak{n}$ such that $U_0(\mathfrak{n}p^s)$ is neat (see Definition 4.1.6), meaning all of the K_i are contained in $\mathcal{O}_F^\times$, see [Hid88, Lemma 7.1].

6.2. **The U_p operator.** We now study the U_p operator acting on these spaces. In particular we will describe how one can compute it Newton polygon. From now on we let $U = U_0(\mathfrak{n}p^s)$ be neat.

6.2.1. Let u denote the fundamental unit in $\mathcal{O}_F^\times$, which has norm -1 and let κ_ψ be a arithmetic weight such that $\kappa_\psi(u) = (-1)^r$ (with r as in Remark 6.1.26), which means that K_i acts trivially on $L\langle X,Y\rangle$. Then from Lemma 6.1.29 we have the following commutative diagram

[15]The number h depends on U and D. See [Hid88, Section 2]

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^{D,\dagger}_{\kappa_\psi}(U) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \bigoplus_{i=1}^{h} L\langle X,Y\rangle \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle U_\mathfrak{p}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathfrak{U}_\mathfrak{p}} \\ S^{D,\dagger}_{\kappa_\psi}(U) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \bigoplus_{i=1}^{h} L\langle X,Y\rangle. \end{array}$$

From this it follows, that if we want to compute the action of $U_\mathfrak{p}$, it is enough to compute $\mathfrak{U}_\mathfrak{p}$. Now we have the following well-known result:

Proposition 6.2.2. *Each double coset $[U\eta_\mathfrak{p}U]$ (see Notation 4.3.1) can be written as*

$$\coprod_{\alpha\in\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}/\pi_\mathfrak{p}} U\begin{pmatrix} \pi_\mathfrak{p} & 0 \\ \alpha\pi_\mathfrak{p}^{s_\mathfrak{p}} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From this it follows that the action of $U_\mathfrak{p}$ is given by

$$(f|U_\mathfrak{p})(g) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}/\pi_\mathfrak{p}} f|_{u_\alpha}(g)$$

for $g \in D_f^\times$, and where $u_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}_i} & 0 \\ \alpha\pi_{\mathfrak{p}_i}^{s_i} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Definition 6.2.3. For each t_i as above define

$$\Theta(i,j) := \{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}/\pi_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \mid t_i u_\alpha^{-1} = dt_j\gamma_\alpha, \text{ for some } d \in D^\times, \gamma_\alpha \in U\}$$

and let $T_{i,j} = \sum_{\beta\in\Theta(i,j)}(\gamma_\beta u_\beta)_p$. Here $(-)_p$ denotes the p-part of $(-)$.[16]

From this we have:

Proposition 6.2.4. *The action of $\mathfrak{U}_\mathfrak{p}$ is given by a block matrix, whose (i,j)-block is given by the matrix of the action of $T_{i,j}$ on $L\langle X,Y\rangle$ (note that this is an infinite dimensional matrix).*

Proof. By Lemma 6.1.29 we have that the action is given by:

$$(f|U_\mathfrak{p})(t_i) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}/\pi_{\mathfrak{p}_i}} f|_{u_\alpha}(t_i) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}/\pi_{\mathfrak{p}_i}} f(t_i u_\alpha^{-1})\cdot(u_\alpha)_p = \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(t_j)\cdot\left(\sum_{\beta\in\Theta(i,j)}(\gamma_\beta u_\beta)_p\right)$$

which gives the result. □

Similarly we can do all of the above for U_p and this gives the matrix $\mathfrak{U}_p$ for the action of U_p.

Warning 6.2.5. With these definitions the $U_\mathfrak{p}$ operators that we get will not be normalized as in [Hid88, Section 3]. For this we need to work with $\pi_\mathfrak{p}^{-\omega_\mathfrak{p}(k)}U_\mathfrak{p}$, which we will do later.

We now what to show how to explicitly find the matrices $T_{i,j}$. For this we use the well-known trick of using a generating function to get the entries of the corresponding matrix.

[16] It is easy to see that the matrices $(\gamma_\beta u_\beta)_p$ are in $\begin{pmatrix} p\mathbb{Z}_p^\times & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ p^s\mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \end{pmatrix}$ where p^s is the wild level.

Proposition 6.2.6. *The generating function for the action of* $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{smallmatrix}\right)\right) = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in M_2(\mathcal{O}_p)$ *is given by*

$$\psi(d) \cdot \frac{\det(\gamma_1)^{\nu_1}(c_1X + d_1)^{n_1+1}}{(c_1X + d_1 - a_1XZ - b_1Z)} \cdot \frac{\det(\gamma_2)^{\nu_2}(c_2Y + d_2)^{n_2+1}}{(c_2Y + d_2 - a_2YW - b_2W)}$$

Proof. The action of γ on $L\langle X, Y\rangle$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} X^iY^j \cdot_{\kappa_\psi} \gamma &= \psi(d)\det(\gamma_1)^{v_1}(c_1X + d_1)^{n_1}\left(\frac{a_1X + b_1}{c_1X + d_1}\right)^i \cdot \det(\gamma_2)^{v_2}(c_2Y + d_2)^{n_2}\left(\frac{a_2Y + b_2}{c_2Y + d_2}\right)^j \\ &= \sum_{l,k} a_{l,k}^{(i,j)} X^lY^k. \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the formal sum $G(X, Y, W, Z, \gamma) = \sum_{i,j,l,k} a_{l,k}^{(i,j)} X^lY^kZ^iY^j$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(X, Y, W, Z, \gamma) &= \sum_{i,j} Z^iW^j \sum_{l,k} a_{l,k}^{(i,j)} X^lY^k \\ &= \sum_{i,j} Z^iW^j\psi(d)\det(\gamma_1)^{v_1}(c_1X + d_1)^{n_1}\left(\frac{a_1X + b_1}{c_1X + d_1}\right)^i \cdot \det(\gamma_2)^{v_2}(c_2Y + d_2)^{n_2}\left(\frac{a_2Y + b_2}{c_2Y + d_2}\right)^j \\ &= E \cdot (c_1X + d_1)^{n_1}(c_2Y + d_2)^{n_2} \sum_i Z^i\left(\frac{a_1X + b_1}{c_1X + d_1}\right)^i \sum_j W^j\left(\frac{a_2Y + b_2}{c_2Y + d_2}\right)^j \end{aligned}$$

where $E = \psi(d)\det(\gamma_1)^{v_1}\det(\gamma_2)^{v_2}$. The result then follows by noting that

$$\sum_i Z^i\left(\frac{a_1X + b_1}{c_1X + d_1}\right)^i = \frac{1}{1 - Z\left(\frac{a_1X+b_1}{c_1X+d_1}\right)}$$

and similarly for the last term.

□

From this we get an expression for $a_{l,k}^{(i,j)}$.

Proposition 6.2.7. *With the notation as above have that the coefficient of* $X^iY^kZ^jW^l$ *in* $G(X, Y, Z, W, \gamma)$ *is*

$$\psi(d)\det(\gamma_1)^{v_1}\det(\gamma_2)^{v_2} \cdot C(\gamma_1, j, i, n_1) \cdot C(\gamma_2, l, k, n_2)$$

where

$$C\left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right), x, y, w\right) = \sum_{r=0}^{x} \binom{w-y}{r}\binom{y}{x-r} a^{x-r}c^rd^{w-x-r}b^{y-x+r}.$$

Proof. The proof of this expression is a simple matter of expanding the power series, which is an un-illuminating computation. □

6.2.8. In order to write down the matrix for U_p we need to choose a basis of $L\langle X, Y\rangle$. The natural choice of basis for this is the one given by X^iY^j for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Now in order to compute the finite approximations to the infinite matrix of U_p, we will need to choose and ordering of

this basis, which is the same as choosing a bijection $Bi : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Note that the choice of ordering will not affect the result, but some choices will mean the slopes stabilize quicker. In what follows we will choose the "diagonal" ordering given by

$$Bi(a,b) = \frac{(a+b+1)(a+b)}{2} + b$$

and $Bi^{-1}(n) = \left(n - \frac{t(t+1)}{2}, \frac{t(t+3)}{2} - n\right)$ where $t = \left\lfloor \frac{-1+\sqrt{1+8n}}{2} \right\rfloor$.

It then follows from Proposition 6.2.7 that:

Corollary 6.2.9. *Let $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and*

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \left(\begin{pmatrix} pa_i & b_i \\ c_i p_i^{\alpha_i} & d_i \end{pmatrix} \right) \in U.$$

Set x_i be the ith entry in the inverse of x (similarly for y) under Bi. Then the x, y entry of the matrix representing the action of γ on $L\langle X, Y\rangle$ is given by

$$e(\gamma, x, y) := E \cdot d_1^{n_1} d_2^{n_2} p^{x_1+x_2} \frac{a_1^{x_1}}{d_1^{y_1}} \frac{a_2^{x_2}}{d_2^{y_2}} b_1^{y_1-x_1} b_2^{y_2-x_2} C(\gamma_1, x_1, y_1) \cdot C(\gamma_2, x_2, y_2)$$

where $E := \psi(d) \det(\gamma_1)^{\nu_1} \det(\gamma_2)^{\nu_2}$ and

$$C(\gamma_i, x_i, y_i) = \sum_{r=0}^{x_i} \binom{n_i - y_i}{r} \binom{y_i}{x_i - r} \left(\frac{b_i c_i}{a_i d_i}\right)^r p^{r(\alpha_i - 1)}$$

for $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Corollary 6.2.10. *For $\gamma \in U$, the matrix for the weight $k = (n, \nu)$ action of γ in $L\langle X, Y\rangle$ is such that the (x, y)-th entry has p-adic valuation at least*

$$x_1 + x_2 + (g(n_1, x_1, y_1) + g(n_2, x_2, y_2)) \cdot (s - 1),$$

where p^s is the wild level, $Bi(x_1, x_2) = x$ and

$$g(n_i, x_i, y_i) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } x_i > n_i \geq y_i \\ x_i & \text{if } y_i = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } y_1 \geq x_1 \\ x_i - y_i & \text{if } y_i < x_i. \end{cases}$$

Note that having infinite p-adic valuation means that the entry of the matrix is zero.

Proof. This follows at once from Corollary 6.2.9 and noting that $g(n_i, x_i, y_i)$ is either ∞ or the first non-zero r for which $\binom{n_i - y_i}{r}\binom{y_i}{x_i - r} \neq 0$. □

Definition 6.2.11. Recall that under the isomorphism

$$S_{\kappa_\psi}^{D,\dagger}(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{h} L\langle X, Y\rangle,$$

the matrix of $\mathfrak{U}_p$ is a block $h \times h$ matrix, whose (i, j)-block is given by the infinite matrix of the action of $T_{i,j}$. Now, there is a natural basis of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{h} L\langle X, Y\rangle$ such that the matrix of $\mathfrak{U}_p$ becomes an infinite block matrix where each block has size $h \times h$. Moreover, using that

$T_{i,j} = \sum_{\beta \in \Theta(i,j)} (\gamma_\beta u_\beta)_p$, we have that, in the new basis, the (x, y) block (which is now finite of size $h \times h$) of $\mathfrak{U}_p$ is given by

$$B(x, y) := (F_{i,j}(x, y))_{i,j}$$

where

$$F_{i,j}(x, y) = \sum_{\beta \in \Theta(i,j)} e((\gamma_\beta u_\beta)_p, x, y)$$

and $i, j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$.

We now want to generalize [WXZ14, Theorem A] to give a lower bound for the Newton polygon for the action of U_p.

Proposition 6.2.12. *Let U be a neat level and let κ be any arithmetic weight. Then the Newton Polygon of the action of U_p on*

$$S_\kappa^{D,\dagger}(U) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{h} L\langle X, Y\rangle$$

lies above the polygon with vertices

$$(0, 0), (h - 1, 0), (3h - 1, 2h), \dots, \left(\frac{i(i+1)h}{2} - 1, \frac{(i-1)i(i+1)h}{3}\right), \dots$$

Proof. We do this by giving a lower bound for the Hodge polygon of the U_p action, which we recall is always below the Newton polygon.

Now recall that the Hodge polygon is given by the lower convex hull of the vertices (i, min_n), where min_n is the minimal p-adic valuation of the determinants of all $n \times n$ minors. Note that in particular, it lies below the Newton polygon. Now Corollary 6.2.10 gives us that $B(x, y)$ is divisible by $p^{x_1+x_2}$, where (as before) $Bi(x_1, x_2) = x$. Using this we can bound the Hodge polygon from below as follows:

Let $S = \{s_i\} := \{0_h, 1_{2h}, 2_{3h}, 3_{4h}, \dots, i_{(i+1)h}, \dots, \}$ where i_n means that i appears n times. Then from the above it is easy to see that the Hodge polygon is bounded from below by the convex hull of the points (i, s_i). An easy check then shows that this break-points at the vertices given above.

□

7. Explicit computations

In this section we collect some computations of slopes of U_p, for p a split or inert prime. Note that our U_p operators will be normalized, (see Remark 4.3.10), which means that when we apply the control theorem to our computations we can ignore the $w_p(k)$ that we had before. The computations done below were done in `Magma` [BCP97] and `Sage` [Sag16].

Warning 7.1.13. When computing slopes of overconvergent Hilbert modular forms our strategy is to compute a finite matrix $U_p(N, \kappa)$ which is a $N \times N$ approximation to the infinite matrix of U_p acting on weight κ overconverget Hilbert modular forms. The fact that U_p is compact means that any vertex of $\mathrm{NP}(U_p(f(N), \kappa)$ of valuation less than N, will also be a vertex of $\mathrm{NP}(U_p(M, \kappa))$ for $M \geq f(N)$. So we can guarantee that the approximation slopes are actually slopes of overconvergent Hilbert modular forms. Here f is a function that depends on the ordering of the basis of the matrix. If we use Bi as in 6.2.8 to order the basis, then $\lfloor \frac{b(N)}{h} \rfloor$bounds

$f(N)$ from below, where $b(N) = \left\lfloor \frac{-1+\sqrt{1+8N}}{2} \right\rfloor$ and h as above.[17] Throughout this section, when we talk about overconvergent slopes, we mean approximated overconvergent slopes.

In the classical case we do not have this problem and all of the slopes we have computed are actually slopes of classical Hilbert modular forms.

7.2. **Split case**. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{13})$ and $p = 3$. We will compute the slopes of U_3 on the space of modular forms of $U_0(9)$. In this case we find that $h = 12$, where h is as in Lemma 6.1.29. We let ψ_r be a continuous character of $\mathcal{O}_p^\times$ of conductor 9 such that $\psi_r(\alpha) = N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)^r$ for $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times$. In the following table we list the slopes of classical Hilbert modular forms as a pair (s, m) where s is the slope and m is how many times it appears, i.e., its multiplicity (up to this size of matrix), also we have normalized so that $v_p(p) = 1$. Note that in our setting we have $U_p = U_{\mathfrak{p}_1} U_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$. We also record here the classical slopes of $U_{\mathfrak{p}_1}, U_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$.

Operator	Weight	Classical Slopes
U_p	$[2,2]\psi_2$	$(0,1)$, $(1/2,2)$, $(1,6)$, $(3/2,2)$, $(2,1)$
$U_{\mathfrak{p}_1}$	$[2,2]\psi_2$	$(0,3)$, $(1/2,6)$, $(1,3)$
$U_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$	$[2,2]\psi_2$	$(0,3)$, $(1/2,6)$, $(1,3)$
U_p	$[2,4]\psi_2$	$(0,1)$, $(1/2,2)$, $(1,7)$, $(3/2,4)$, $(2,8)$, $(5/2,4)$, $(3,7)$, $(7/2,2)$, $(4,1)$
$U_{\mathfrak{p}_1}$	$[2,4]\psi_2$	$(0,9)$, $(1/2,18)$, $(1,9)$
$U_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$	$[2,4]\psi_2$	$(0,3)$, $(1/2,6)$, $(1,6)$, $(3/2,6)$, $(2,6)$, $(5/2,6)$, $(3,3)$
U_p	$[2,6]\psi_2$	$(0,1)$, $(1/2,2)$, $(1,7)$, $(3/2,4)$, $(2,8)$, $(5/2,4)$, $(3,8)$, $(7/2,4)$, $(4,8)$, $(9/2,4)$, $(5,7)$, $(11/2,2)$, $(6,1)$
$U_{\mathfrak{p}_1}$	$[2,6]\psi_2$	$(0,15)$, $(1/2,30)$, $(1,15)$
$U_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$	$[2,6]\psi_2$	$(0,3)$, $(1/2,6)$, $(1,6)$, $(3/2,6)$, $(2,6)$, $(5/2,6)$, $(3,6)$, $(7/2,6)$, $(4,6)$, $(9/2,6)$, $(5,3)$
U_p	$[2,8]\psi_2$	$(0,1)$, $(1/2,2)$, $(1,7)$, $(3/2,4)$, $(2,8)$, $(5/2,4)$, $(3,8)$, $(7/2,4)$, $(4,8)$, $(9/2,4)$, $(5,8)$, $(11/2,4)$, $(6,8)$, $(13/2,4)$, $(7,7)$, $(15/2,2)$, $(8,1)$
$U_{\mathfrak{p}_1}$	$[2,8]\psi_2$	$(0,21)$, $(1/2,42)$, $(1,21)$
$U_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$	$[2,8]\psi_2$	$(0,3)$, $(1/2,6)$, $(1,6)$, $(3/2,6)$, $(2,6)$, $(5/2,6)$, $(3,6)$, $(7/2,6)$, $(4,6)$, $(9/2,6)$, $(5,6)$, $(11/2,6)$, $(6,6)$, $(13/2,6)$, $(7,3)$
U_p	$[4,4]\psi_2$	$(0,1)$, $(1/2,2)$, $(1,8)$, $(3/2,6)$, $(2,16)$, $(5/2,10)$, $(3,22)$, $(7/2,10)$, $(4,16)$, $(9/2,6)$, $(5,8)$, $(11/2,2)$, $(6,1)$
$U_{\mathfrak{p}_1}$	$[4,4]\psi_2$	$(0,9)$, $(1/2,18)$, $(1,18)$, $(3/2,18)$, $(2,18)$, $(5/2,18)$, $(3,9)$
$U_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$	$[4,4]\psi_2$	$(0,9)$, $(1/2,18)$, $(1,18)$, $(3/2,18)$, $(2,18)$, $(5/2,18)$, $(3,9)$
U_p	$[4,6]\psi_2$	$(0,1)$, $(1/2,2)$, $(1,8)$, $(3/2,6)$, $(2,16)$, $(5/2,10)$, $(3,23)$, $(7/2,12)$, $(4,24)$, $(9/2,12)$, $(5,23)$, $(11/2,10)$, $(6,16)$, $(13/2,6)$, $(7,8)$, $(15/2,2)$, $(8,1)$
$U_{\mathfrak{p}_1}$	$[4,6]\psi_2$	$(0,15)$, $(1/2,30)$, $(1,30)$, $(3/2,30)$, $(2,30)$, $(5/2,30)$, $(3,15)$
$U_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$	$[4,6]\psi_2$	$(0,9)$, $(1/2,18)$, $(1,18)$, $(3/2,18)$, $(2,18)$, $(5/2,18)$, $(3,18)$, $(7/2,18)$, $(4,18)$, $(9/2,18)$, $(5,9)$
U_p	$[3,3]\psi_1$	$(0,1)$, $(1/2,2)$, $(1,8)$, $(3/2,6)$, $(2,14)$, $(5/2,6)$, $(3,8)$, $(7/2,2)$, $(4,1)$
$U_{\mathfrak{p}_1}$	$[3,3]\psi_1$	$(0,6)$, $(1/2,12)$, $(1,12)$, $(3/2,12)$, $(2,6)$
$U_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$	$[3,3]\psi_1$	$(0,6)$, $(1/2,12)$, $(1,12)$, $(3/2,12)$, $(2,6)$

[17] This is most likely not the optimal bound.

U_p	$[3,5]\psi_1$	$(0,1)$, $(1/2,2)$, $(1,8)$, $(3/2,6)$, $(2,15)$, $(5/2,8)$, $(3,16)$, $(7/2,8)$, $(4,15)$, $(9/2,6)$, $(5,8)$, $(11/2,2)$, $(6,1)$
$U_{\mathfrak{p}_1}$	$[3,5]\psi_1$	$(0,12)$, $(1/2,24)$, $(1,24)$, $(3/2,24)$, $(2,12)$
$U_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$	$[3,5]\psi_1$	$(0,6)$, $(1/2,12)$, $(1,12)$, $(3/2,12)$, $(2,12)$, $(5/2,12)$, $(3,12)$, $(7/2,12)$, $(4,6)$
U_p	$[3,7]\psi_1$	$(0,1)$, $(1/2,2)$, $(1,8)$, $(3/2,6)$, $(2,15)$, $(5/2,8)$, $(3,16)$, $(7/2,8)$, $(4,16)$, $(9/2,8)$, $(5,16)$, $(11/2,8)$, $(6,15)$, $(13/2,6)$, $(7,8)$, $(15/2,2)$, $(8,1)$
$U_{\mathfrak{p}_1}$	$[3,7]\psi_1$	$(0,18)$, $(1/2,36)$, $(1,36)$, $(3/2,36)$, $(2,18)$
$U_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$	$[3,7]\psi_1$	$(0,6)$, $(1/2,12)$, $(1,12)$, $(3/2,12)$, $(2,12)$, $(5/2,12)$, $(3,12)$, $(7/2,12)$, $(4,12)$, $(9/2,12)$, $(5,12)$, $(11/2,12)$, $(6,6)$
U_p	$[3,9]\psi_1$	$(0,1)$, $(1/2,2)$, $(1,8)$, $(3/2,6)$, $(2,15)$, $(5/2,8)$, $(3,16)$, $(7/2,8)$, $(4,16)$, $(9/2,8)$, $(5,16)$, $(11/2,8)$, $(6,16)$, $(13/2,8)$, $(7,16)$, $(15/2,8)$, $(8,15)$, $(17/2,6)$, $(9,8)$, $(19/2,2)$, $(10,1)$
$U_{\mathfrak{p}_1}$	$[3,9]\psi_1$	$(0,24)$, $(1/2,48)$, $(1,48)$, $(3/2,48)$, $(2,24)$
$U_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$	$[3,9]\psi_1$	$(0,6)$, $(1/2,12)$, $(1,12)$, $(3/2,12)$, $(2,12)$, $(5/2,12)$, $(3,12)$, $(7/2,12)$, $(4,12)$, $(9/2,12)$, $(5,12)$, $(11/2,12)$, $(6,12)$, $(13/2,12)$, $(7,12)$, $(15/2,12)$, $(8,6)$

7.2.1. Due to our choice of level on can show using 6.1.29, that the dimension of the spaces of classical Hilbert modular forms of weight $[k_1,k_2]\psi_i$ and level $U_0(9)$ is $(k_1-1)(k_2-1)12$ for $[k_1,k_2]\neq[2,2]$. For weight $[2,2]$ the dimension of the classical space of cusp forms is 11, but since in our notation we are including the constant functions (which in this case contribute a 1-dimensional subspace), we get a 12 dimensional space. With this one can easily see that (as long as we order our basis correctly) in the table above, the classical slopes U_p in weight κ are given by the slopes of $U_*(N,\kappa)$ for $N=(k_1-1)(k_2-1)12$ and $*\in\{p,\mathfrak{p}_1,\mathfrak{p}_2\}$.

We now compute the overconvergent slope approximations for the same set of weights as in the classical case but we compute slopes of U_p only as $U_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ are not compact operators. Our computations suggest that for a fixed N, the set of slopes of $U_p(N,\kappa)$ does not depend on the weight κ. For this reason, the table below, we only record the size and the slopes.

Matrix	Overconvergent Slopes
$U_p(20\cdot 12)$	$(0,1)$, $(1/2,2)$, $(1,8)$, $(3/2,6)$, $(2,16)$, $(5/2,10)$, $(3,24)$, $(7/2,14)$, $(4,32)$, $(9/2,18)$, $(5,39)$, $(11/2,20)$, $(6,35)$, $(13/2,10)$, $(7,5)$
$U_p(22\cdot 12)$	$(0,1)$, $(1/2,2)$, $(1,8)$, $(3/2,6)$, $(2,16)$, $(5/2,10)$, $(3,24)$, $(7/2,14)$, $(4,32)$, $(9/2,18)$, $(5,40)$, $(11/2,22)$, $(6,42)$, $(13/2,14)$, $(7,12)$, $(15/2,2)$, $(8,1)$
$U_p(25\cdot 12)$	$(0,1)$, $(1/2,2)$, $(1,8)$, $(3/2,6)$, $(2,16)$, $(5/2,10)$, $(3,24)$, $(7/2,14)$, $(4,32)$, $(9/2,18)$, $(5,40)$, $(11/2,22)$, $(6,45)$, $(13/2,20)$, $(7,30)$, $(15/2,8)$, $(8,4)$
$U_p(28\cdot 12)$	$(0,1)$, $(1/2,2)$, $(1,8)$, $(3/2,6)$, $(2,16)$, $(5/2,10)$, $(3,24)$, $(7/2,14)$, $(4,32)$, $(9/2,18)$, $(5,40)$, $(11/2,22)$, $(6,48)$, $(13/2,26)$, $(7,48)$, $(15/2,14)$, $(8,7)$
$U_p(30\cdot 12)$	$(0,1)$, $(1/2,2)$, $(1,8)$, $(3/2,6)$, $(2,16)$, $(5/2,10)$, $(3,24)$, $(7/2,14)$, $(4,32)$, $(9/2,18)$, $(5,40)$, $(11/2,22)$, $(6,48)$, $(13/2,26)$, $(7,50)$, $(15/2,18)$, $(8,19)$, $(17/2,4)$, $(9,2)$

Observation 7.2.2. (1) The slopes are appearing in arithmetic progression! which is very similar to what we see over $\mathbb{Q}$.

(2) The multiplicities are not the same for each slope and are increasing, which is something that one does not see over $\mathbb{Q}$. It follows from the conjecture above, that the multiplicities increasing is due to the fact that for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ there are $n+1$ pairs $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$ such that $Bi(x) = n$, which in practice means that the generating blocks "bunch up" giving the increased multiplicities. Specifically we see that the block $S_2(n)$ appears $n+1$ times. Note that this does not depend on Bi.

(3) In the classical slopes above one can observe the Atkin-Lehner involution in action. We know from the basic theory of Hilbert modular forms, that we can define an involution W_p (see [SW93]) and this will send a Hilbert modular form of slope α in $S_{k_\psi}(U_0(9))$ to a form of slope

$$v_p(N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(p)^{k_0-1}) - \alpha,$$

where $k_0 = \max(k_1, k_2)$ in $S_{k_{\psi^{-1}}}(U_0(9))$. Now in our example, ψ and ψ^{-1} are in the same Galois orbit, so the slopes in weight k_ψ and $k_{\psi^{-1}}$ will be the same. From which one can deduce that in the classical slopes above one should be able to pair up the slopes appearing in weight $[k_1, k_2]$ so that the slopes add up to

$$v_p(N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(p)^{k_0-1-w_p(k)}),$$

which is the case[18]. Moreover, one can define Atkin-Lehner involutions $W_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and make similar observations in these cases.

(4) In the classical case we have not only computed the slopes of U_p, but those of $U_{\mathfrak{p}_1}, U_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$. Here one sees that if we fix k_1 and let k_2 grow, then the slopes of $U_{\mathfrak{p}_1}$ only increase in multiplicity, but we do not gain any new slopes. On the other hand, for $U_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$ we see that as k_2 increases the we gain new slopes. Moreover, if we fix k_2 and increase k_1 we see the same behaviour but with $U_{\mathfrak{p}_1}$ and $U_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$ switching roles.

Remark 7.2.3. It would be interesting to not only vary the k_i independently, but also to choose characters which are ramified only at $\mathfrak{p}_1$ or $\mathfrak{p}_2$ and computing the slopes. This would correspond to moving in the $\mathfrak{p}_1$ or $\mathfrak{p}_2$ "direction" in the weight space. At the moment we are not able to compute such examples, since in the cases we have studied this would mean increasing the level. This has the effect (in general) of making the matrices much larger, which in turn makes computing the characteristic polynomial much more difficult (which is the bottleneck in our method). In the future we hope to compute such examples.

7.2.4. Over $\mathbb{Q}$, [BPar] have given a conjectural recipe to generate all of the overconvergent slopes and if one looks at this recipe one sees that its only "ingredients" are classical slopes appearing in weight 2 (with appropriate character) at each component of the weight space and the number of cusps. One sees something similar in this case. In the above computations, the classical slopes of U_p in weight $[2, 2]$ (recall our convention on this from Section 1) and character ψ_2 can be used to "generate" the slopes. In particular, let $S_2(n) := [(0+n, 1), (1/2+n, 2), (1+n, 6), (3/2+n, 2), (2+n, 1)]$ (note that $S_2(0)$ are precisely the slopes appearing in weight $[2, 2]$ and character ψ_2). Then we conjecture that:

Conjecture 7.2.5. *The slopes appearing in $S^\dagger_{\kappa_\psi}(U_0(9))$ are given by*

$$\bigcup_{(x_1,x_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}} S_2(Bi(x_1, x_2))$$

[18] The appearance of $v_p(N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(p)^{w_p(k)})$ is due to the normalizations of our operators.

for any arithmetic weight κ_ψ.

7.2.6. We have also done a similar computation in the case when $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{17})$, $p = 2$ and level $U_0(8)$ (here $h = 24$). In this case we same very similar behaviour as above, for this reason we only record here the slopes in weight $[2,2]\psi$ (for ψ an appropriate character of conductor 8).

Operator	Weight	Classical slopes
U_p	$[2,2]\psi$	$(0,1)$, $(1/2,4)$, $(1,14)$, $(3/2,4)$, $(2,1)$
$U_{\mathfrak{p}_1}$	$[2,2]\psi$	$(0,4)$, $(1/2,16)$, $(1,4)$
$U_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$	$[2,2]\psi$	$(0,4)$, $(1/2,16)$, $(1,4)$
Operator	Size	Overconvergent slopes
U_p	$10 \cdot 24$	$(0,1)$, $(1/2,4)$, $(1,16)$, $(3/2,12)$, $(2,32)$, $(5/2,20)$, $(3,48)$, $(7/2,28)$, $(4,59)$, $(9/2,16)$, $(5,4)$

7.3. **Inert case.** We now move to the inert case. For this we set $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ and $p = 2$. We will compute the slopes of U_2 acting on $S^{D,\dagger}_{k_\epsilon}(U_0(2^3\mathfrak{p}_{11}))$ where $\mathfrak{p}_{11}$ is a prime lying above 11 generated by $(11, 3+2\sqrt{5})$ and $\epsilon : \mathcal{O}_p^\times \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ is the primitive Hecke character of conductor 2^3. In particular, it is such that $\epsilon(u) = 1$ where $u \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times$ (embedded in the usual way into $\mathcal{O}_p^\times$) is the fundamental unit. Similarly we let χ be a primitive Dirichlet character of conductor 2^3 such that $\chi(u) = -1$. Lastly, for $[s_1, s_2] \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ let $\tau^s = (\tau_1^{s_1}, \tau_2^{s_2})$ denote the Teichmüller character to the power s. Note that in this case $h = 16$ and therefore the space of classical Hilbert modular forms of weight $[k_1, k_2]\psi$ (for ψ either of the characters above) and level $U_0(2^3\mathfrak{p}_{11})$ has dimension $(k_1 - 1) \cdot (k_2 - 1)16$ for $[k_1, k_2] \neq [2,2]$. In weight $[2,2]\psi$ the dimension is 15.

7.3.1. Note that ϵ is chosen so that we can work with weights with even parity, and χ with odd weights. Moreover, note that in this case $L = \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{5})$ is the degree 2 unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_2$. One then checks that the torsion subgroup of the units is cyclic of order 6 given by the 6-th roots of unity. Therefore if κ_ψ is an arithmetic weight with algebraic part $k = [k_1, k_2] \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, then it induces a map on the 6-th roots of unity, and this map determines in what component of the weight space the weight lives. Now looking at the explicit description of the weight, we see that

$$\kappa_\psi(\zeta_6) = \zeta_6^{k_1 - k_2}\psi(\zeta_6).$$

From which it follows that for a fixed character ψ, the arithmetic weights given by κ_ψ and κ'_ψ will live on the same component of the weight space if and only if $k_1 - k_2 \equiv k'_1 - k'_2 \mod 6$. Moreover, we can switch between the different components of the weight space by using the Teichmüller character τ.

Weight	Classical Slopes
$[2,2]\epsilon$	$(2/3,6), (1,4), (4/3,6)$
$[2,2]\epsilon\tau^2$	$(1/2,4), (1,8), (3/2,4)$
$[2,4]\epsilon$	$(1/2,4), (1,8), (3/2,4), (5/3,6), (2,4), (7/3,6), (5/2,4), (3,8), (7/2,4)$
$[2,6]\epsilon$	$(1/2,4)$, $(1,8)$, $(3/2,8)$, $(2,8)$, $(5/2,4)$, $(8/3,6)$, $(3,4)$, $(10/3,6)$, $(7/2,4)$, $(4,8)$, $(9/2,8)$, $(5,8)$, $(11/2,4)$
$[2,8]\epsilon$	$(2/3,6)$, $(1,4)$, $(4/3,6)$, $(3/2,4)$, $(2,8)$, $(5/2,8)$, $(3,8)$, $(7/2,4)$, $(11/3,6)$, $(4,4)$, $(13/3,6)$, $(9/2,4)$, $(5,8)$, $(11/2,8)$, $(6,8)$, $(13/2,4)$, $(20/3,6)$, $(7,4)$, $(22/3,6)$

$[2,10]\epsilon$	$(1/2,4)$, $(1,8)$, $(3/2,4)$, $(5/3,6)$, $(2,4)$, $(7/3,6)$, $(5/2,4)$, $(3,8)$, $(7/2,8)$, $(4,8)$, $(9/2,4)$, $(14/3,6)$, $(5,4)$, $(16/3,6)$, $(11/2,4)$, $(6,8)$, $(13/2,8)$, $(7,8)$, $(15/2,4)$, $(23/3,6)$, $(8,4)$, $(25/3,6)$, $(17/2,4)$, $(9,8)$, $(19/2,4)$
$[4,4]\epsilon$	$(2/3,6)$, $(1,4)$, $(4/3,6)$, $(3/2,8)$, $(2,16)$, $(5/2,16)$, $(8/3,6)$, $(3,20)$, $(10/3,6)$, $(7/2,16)$, $(4,16)$, $(9/2,8)$, $(14/3,6)$, $(5,4)$, $(16/3,6)$
$[4,6]\epsilon$	$(1/2,4)$, $(1,8)$, $(3/2,8)$, $(5/3,6)$, $(2,12)$, $(7/3,6)$, $(5/2,12)$, $(8/3,6)$, $(3,20)$, $(10/3,6)$, $(7/2,16)$, $(11/3,6)$, $(4,20)$, $(13/3,6)$, $(9/2,16)$, $(14/3,6)$, $(5,20)$, $(16/3,6)$, $(11/2,12)$, $(17/3,6)$, $(6,12)$, $(19/3,6)$, $(13/2,8)$, $(7,8)$, $(15/2,4)$
$[3,3]\chi$	$(2/3,6)$, $(1,4)$, $(4/3,6)$, $(3/2,8)$, $(2,16)$, $(5/2,8)$, $(8/3,6)$, $(3,4)$, $(10/3,6)$
$[3,5]\chi$	$(1/2,4)$, $(1,8)$, $(3/2,8)$, $(5/3,6)$, $(2,12)$, $(7/3,6)$, $(5/2,12)$, $(3,16)$, $(7/2,12)$, $(11/3,6)$, $(4,12)$, $(13/3,6)$, $(9/2,8)$, $(5,8)$, $(11/2,4)$
$[3,7]\chi$	$(1/2,4)$, $(1,8)$, $(3/2,8)$, $(5/3,6)$, $(2,12)$, $(7/3,6)$, $(5/2,8)$, $(8/3,6)$, $(3,12)$, $(10/3,6)$, $(7/2,12)$, $(4,16)$, $(9/2,12)$, $(14/3,6)$, $(5,12)$, $(16/3,6)$, $(11/2,8)$, $(17/3,6)$, $(6,12)$, $(19/3,6)$, $(13/2,8)$, $(7,8)$, $(15/2,4)$
$[3,9]\chi$	$(2/3,6)$, $(1,4)$, $(4/3,6)$, $(3/2,8)$, $(2,16)$, $(5/2,12)$, $(8/3,6)$, $(3,12)$, $(10/3,6)$, $(7/2,8)$, $(11/3,6)$, $(4,12)$, $(13/3,6)$, $(9/2,12)$, $(5,16)$, $(11/2,12)$, $(17/3,6)$, $(6,12)$, $(19/3,6)$, $(13/2,8)$, $(20/3,6)$, $(7,12)$, $(22/3,6)$, $(15/2,12)$, $(8,16)$, $(17/2,8)$, $(26/3,6)$, $(9,4)$, $(28/3,6)$
$[3,11]\chi$	$(1/2,4)$, $(1,8)$, $(3/2,8)$, $(5/3,6)$, $(2,12)$, $(7/3,6)$, $(5/2,12)$, $(3,16)$, $(7/2,12)$, $(11/3,6)$, $(4,12)$, $(13/3,6)$, $(9/2,8)$, $(14/3,6)$, $(5,12)$, $(16/3,6)$, $(11/2,12)$, $(6,16)$, $(13/2,12)$, $(20/3,6)$, $(7,12)$, $(22/3,6)$, $(15/2,8)$, $(23/3,6)$, $(8,12)$, $(25/3,6)$, $(17/2,12)$, $(9,16)$, $(19/2,12)$, $(29/3,6)$, $(10,12)$, $(31/3,6)$, $(21/2,8)$, $(11,8)$, $(23/2,4)$

We now compute some overconvergent slopes, extending our previous computations. As in the split case, the computations suggest that as long as the weights are in the same component of the weight space, they have the same set of slopes. In the table below, we let component 1 consists of the weights $[k_1,k_2]\psi$ appearing the table of classical slopes for which $k_1 \equiv k_2 \mod 6$, and component 2 consist of the remaining weights.

Component	Matrix	Overconvergent Slopes
1	$U_p(20\cdot 16)$	$(2/3,6)$, $(1,4)$, $(4/3,6)$, $(3/2,8)$, $(2,16)$, $(5/2,16)$, $(8/3,6)$, $(3,20)$, $(10/3,6)$, $(7/2,16)$, $(11/3,12)$, $(4,24)$, $(13/3,12)$, $(9/2,24)$, $(14/3,6)$, $(5,36)$, $(16/3,6)$, $(11/2,28)$, $(17/3,12)$, $(6,32)$, $(19/3,12)$, $(13/2,12)$
1	$U_p(22\cdot 16)$	$(2/3,6)$, $(1,4)$, $(4/3,6)$, $(3/2,8)$, $(2,16)$, $(5/2,16)$, $(8/3,6)$, $(3,20)$, $(10/3,6)$, $(7/2,16)$, $(11/3,12)$, $(4,24)$, $(13/3,12)$, $(9/2,24)$, $(14/3,6)$, $(5,36)$, $(16/3,6)$, $(11/2,32)$, $(17/3,12)$, $(6,40)$, $(19/3,12)$, $(13/2,16)$, $(20/3,6)$, $(7,4)$, $(22/3,6)$
1	$U_p(25\cdot 16)$	$(2/3,6)$, $(1,4)$, $(4/3,6)$, $(3/2,8)$, $(2,16)$, $(5/2,16)$, $(8/3,6)$, $(3,20)$, $(10/3,6)$, $(7/2,16)$, $(11/3,12)$, $(4,24)$, $(13/3,12)$, $(9/2,24)$, $(14/3,6)$, $(5,36)$, $(16/3,6)$, $(11/2,32)$, $(17/3,12)$, $(6,40)$, $(19/3,12)$, $(13/2,24)$, $(20/3,12)$, $(7,24)$, $(22/3,12)$, $(15/2,8)$

1	$U_p(28 \cdot 16)$	$(2/3,6)$, $(1,4)$, $(4/3,6)$, $(3/2,8)$, $(2,16)$, $(5/2,16)$, $(8/3,6)$, $(3,20)$, $(10/3,6)$, $(7/2,16)$, $(11/3,12)$, $(4,24)$, $(13/3,12)$, $(9/2,24)$, $(14/3,6)$, $(5,36)$, $(16/3,6)$, $(11/2,32)$, $(17/3,12)$, $(6,40)$, $(19/3,12)$, $(13/2,32)$, $(20/3,18)$, $(7,44)$, $(22/3,18)$, $(15/2,16)$
1	$U_p(30 \cdot 16)$	$(2/3,6)$, $(1,4)$, $(4/3,6)$, $(3/2,8)$, $(2,16)$, $(5/2,16)$, $(8/3,6)$, $(3,20)$, $(10/3,6)$, $(7/2,16)$, $(11/3,12)$, $(4,24)$, $(13/3,12)$, $(9/2,24)$, $(14/3,6)$, $(5,36)$, $(16/3,6)$, $(11/2,32)$, $(17/3,12)$, $(6,40)$, $(19/3,12)$, $(13/2,32)$, $(20/3,18)$, $(7,44)$, $(22/3,18)$, $(15/2,24)$, $(8,16)$, $(17/2,8)$
2	$U_p(30 \cdot 16)$	$(1/2,4)$, $(1,8)$, $(3/2,8)$, $(5/3,6)$, $(2,12)$, $(7/3,6)$, $(5/2,12)$, $(8/3,6)$, $(3,20)$, $(10/3,6)$, $(7/2,20)$, $(11/3,6)$, $(4,28)$, $(13/3,6)$, $(9/2,24)$, $(14/3,12)$, $(5,32)$, $(16/3,12)$, $(11/2,28)$, $(17/3,12)$, $(6,40)$, $(19/3,12)$, $(13/2,36)$, $(20/3,12)$, $(7,48)$, $(22/3,12)$, $(15/2,24)$, $(23/3,6)$, $(8,12)$, $(25/3,6)$, $(17/2,4)$
2	$U_p(22 \cdot 16)$	$(1/2,4)$, $(1,8)$, $(3/2,8)$, $(5/3,6)$, $(2,12)$, $(7/3,6)$, $(5/2,12)$, $(8/3,6)$, $(3,20)$, $(10/3,6)$, $(7/2,20)$, $(11/3,6)$, $(4,28)$, $(13/3,6)$, $(9/2,24)$, $(14/3,12)$, $(5,32)$, $(16/3,12)$, $(11/2,28)$, $(17/3,12)$, $(6,40)$, $(19/3,12)$, $(13/2,20)$, $(7,8)$, $(15/2,4)$
2	$U_p(25 \cdot 16)$	$(1/2,4)$, $(1,8)$, $(3/2,8)$, $(5/3,6)$, $(2,12)$, $(7/3,6)$, $(5/2,12)$, $(8/3,6)$, $(3,20)$, $(10/3,6)$, $(7/2,20)$, $(11/3,6)$, $(4,28)$, $(13/3,6)$, $(9/2,24)$, $(14/3,12)$, $(5,32)$, $(16/3,12)$, $(11/2,28)$, $(17/3,12)$, $(6,40)$, $(19/3,12)$, $(13/2,28)$, $(20/3,6)$, $(7,28)$, $(22/3,6)$, $(15/2,12)$
2	$U_p(20 \cdot 16)$	$(1/2,4)$, $(1,8)$, $(3/2,8)$, $(5/3,6)$, $(2,12)$, $(7/3,6)$, $(5/2,12)$, $(8/3,6)$, $(3,20)$, $(10/3,6)$, $(7/2,20)$, $(11/3,6)$, $(4,28)$, $(13/3,6)$, $(9/2,24)$, $(14/3,12)$, $(5,32)$, $(16/3,12)$, $(11/2,24)$, $(17/3,12)$, $(6,32)$, $(19/3,12)$, $(13/2,12)$

Observation 7.3.2. (1) As in the split case, we see that the slopes are again unions of arithmetic progressions, but the difference is that they do not all have common difference. In particular, we see arithmetic progressions with common difference $1/2$ and $1/3$ appearing in the sequence of slopes. This again is something that (as far as the author knows) has not been seen over $\mathbb{Q}$. Also we have this phenomenon of increasing multiplicities as in the split case.

(2) In the above example, the different components of the weight space are identified by the Galois orbits of the characters. So in practice we see that there are three components with different sets of slopes appearing in each. One of these corresponds to the trivial character and hence to the centre of the weight space. We have not included it here, since it consists of mainly infinite slope forms. Note that we can move between the two non-trivial components by twisting by the Teichmüller character τ as we did in the weight $[2,2]$ case.

(3) Again one can see the Atkin-Lehner involution in action in this setting.

7.3.3. Now, let $\kappa(t) := [2,2]\epsilon\tau^t$ for $t \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ as before then $\kappa := \kappa(0,0)$ and $\kappa(2,0)$ are two classical weights that live in different components of the weight space and the classical slopes are $S(0,0) := [(2/3,6),(1,4),(4/3,6)]$ and $S(2,0) := [(1/2,4),(1,8),(3/2,4)]$respectively. For

$t \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$ let $S(t)$ denote (finite) set of classical slopes in weight $\kappa(t)$ and we let $S(t)^n$ denote the same set but where we add n to each slope and keep the same multiplicity. Then we conjecture that:

Conjecture 7.3.4. *For $k = [k_1, k_2]$, the slopes appearing in $S^\dagger_{k_\epsilon}(U_0(2^3\mathfrak{p}_{11}))$ or $S^\dagger_{k_\chi}(U_0(2^3\mathfrak{p}_{11}))$ are given by*

$$\bigcup_{(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}} S(k_1+n_1, k_2+n_2)^{n_1+n_2}.$$

Unfortunately at the present time we cannot prove this conjectural recipe.

7.4. **The centre of the weight space**. To contrast with the computations of slopes near the boundary, we include some computations of slopes near the centre of the weight space. Here we see much less structure than near the boundary. We also see many more slopes that are not integers, compared to what one sees over $\mathbb{Q}$.

In the table below we work in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$, with $p = 2$ and level $U_0(2\mathfrak{q}_{11})$, where $\mathfrak{q}_{11}|11$. To save space, we have included the classical and overconvergent slopes in the same table. In the table below by size "c" we mean that these are the slopes of classical modular forms of the given weight.

Weight	Size	Slopes
$[4,4]\mathbb{1}$	200	$(2,8)$, $(4,1)$, $(5,4)$, $(16/3,6)$, $(6,6)$, $(7,2)$, $(8,7)$, $(17/2,12)$, $(9,4)$, $(10,21)$, $(11,2)$, $(12,6)$, $(25/2,4)$, $(13,6)$, $(27/2,4)$, $(14,3)$, $(15,22)$, $(16,8)$, $(33/2,4)$, $(17,13)$, $(35/2,24)$, $(18,21)$, $(19,6)$, $(39/2,2)$, $(20,3)$, $(21,1)$
$[4,4]\mathbb{1}$	c	$(2,8), (4,1)$
$[6,6]\mathbb{1}$	200	$(2,5)$, $(4,15)$, $(6,4)$, $(7,2)$, $(15/2,4)$, $(8,7)$, $(9,4)$, $(10,12)$, $(21/2,20)$, $(11,2)$, $(12,14)$, $(13,4)$, $(14,7)$, $(44/3,6)$, $(15,10)$, $(46/3,6)$, $(16,12)$, $(33/2,4)$, $(17,24)$, $(18,8)$, $(37/2,2)$, $(19,9)$, $(39/2,8)$, $(20,6)$, $(41/2,2)$, $(21,2)$, $(22,1)$
$[6,6]\mathbb{1}$	c	$(2,5), (4,15), (8,5)$
$[2,2]\mathbb{1}$	200	$(2,5)$, $(3,2)$, $(4,10)$, $(6,3)$, $(7,4)$, $(8,33)$, $(10,4)$, $(11,2)$, $(12,3)$, $(25/2,4)$, $(13,12)$, $(14,10)$, $(44/3,6)$, $(15,8)$, $(46/3,6)$, $(31/2,8)$, $(16,40)$, $(49/3,3)$, $(33/2,4)$, $(50/3,3)$, $(17,14)$, $(35/2,2)$, $(18,7)$, $(19,3)$, $(20,2)$, $(41/2,2)$
$[2,2]\mathbb{1}$	c	$(2,1)$
$[8,8]\mathbb{1}$	200	$(2,8)$, $(4,1)$, $(6,31)$, $(8,4)$, $(9,2)$, $(19/2,4)$, $(10,3)$, $(11,8)$, $(35/3,6)$, $(12,18)$, $(37/3,6)$, $(25/2,4)$, $(13,4)$, $(14,6)$, $(15,18)$, $(16,7)$, $(17,6)$, $(35/2,12)$, $(18,17)$, $(55/3,6)$, $(37/2,12)$, $(19,9)$, $(20,5)$, $(21,3)$
$[8,8]\mathbb{1}$	c	$(2,8), (4,1), (6,31), (10,1), (12,8)$
$[4,2]\mathbb{1}$	200	$(1,2)$, $(2,1)$, $(5/2,2)$, $(3,1)$, $(4,5)$, $(14/3,3)$, $(5,5)$, $(6,1)$, $(13/2,2)$, $(7,5)$, $(8,11)$, $(9,24)$, $(19/2,2)$, $(10,1)$, $(11,9)$, $(12,4)$, $(13,2)$, $(14,19)$, $(29/2,2)$, $(15,7)$, $(46/3,3)$, $(16,12)$, $(49/3,6)$, $(33/2,16)$, $(50/3,3)$, $(67/4,4)$, $(17,21)$, $(35/2,6)$, $(18,9)$, $(37/2,4)$, $(19,5)$, $(20,1)$, $(21,2)$
$[4,2]\mathbb{1}$	c	$(1,2), (3,1)$
$[6,2]\mathbb{1}$	200	$(1,1)$, $(2,3)$, $(3,2)$, $(10/3,3)$, $(4,1)$, $(9/2,4)$, $(5,4)$, $(17/3,3)$, $(6,2)$, $(7,4)$, $(15/2,4)$, $(8,2)$, $(17/2,2)$, $(9,17)$, $(19/2,2)$, $(10,12)$, $(21/2,2)$, $(11,6)$, $(12,12)$, $(13,5)$, $(14,2)$, $(15,26)$, $(31/2,2)$, $(16,7)$, $(33/2,2)$, $(17,18)$, $(35/2,16)$, $(53/3,6)$, $(18,17)$, $(19,7)$, $(39/2,2)$, $(20,4)$

$[6,2]\mathbb{1}$	c	$(1,1),(2,3),(5,1)$
$[6,4]\mathbb{1}$	200	$(1,2)$, $(2,1)$, $(3,9)$, $(4,1)$, $(5,3)$, $(6,4)$, $(19/3,3)$, $(13/2,2)$, $(7,3)$, $(8,8)$, $(9,5)$, $(19/2,16)$, $(10,8)$, $(11,12)$, $(12,3)$, $(13,10)$, $(27/2,2)$, $(41/3,3)$, $(14,7)$, $(43/3,3)$, $(29/2,2)$, $(15,5)$, $(16,28)$, $(17,8)$, $(52/3,3)$, $(35/2,4)$, $(18,12)$, $(37/2,18)$, $(19,7)$, $(20,8)$
$[6,4]\mathbb{1}$	c	$(1,2),(2,1),(3,9),(6,1),(7,2)$
$[8,4]\mathbb{1}$	200	$(1,1)$, $(3/2,2)$, $(3,2)$, $(4,13)$, $(5,1)$, $(6,9)$, $(7,3)$, $(8,2)$, $(17/2,4)$, $(9,5)$, $(19/2,2)$, $(10,11)$, $(31/3,3)$, $(21/2,4)$, $(32/3,9)$, $(11,7)$, $(35/3,3)$, $(12,5)$, $(13,4)$, $(40/3,3)$, $(27/2,2)$, $(14,4)$, $(43/3,3)$, $(29/2,4)$, $(15,9)$, $(47/3,3)$, $(16,11)$, $(33/2,8)$, $(17,23)$, $(52/3,3)$, $(18,9)$, $(37/2,2)$, $(19,7)$, $(39/2,4)$, $(20,10)$, $(21,2)$, $(22,3)$
$[8,4]\mathbb{1}$	c	$(1,1),(3/2,2),(3,2),(4,11),(7,2),(17/2,2),(9,1)$
$[8,6]\mathbb{1}$	200	$(1,2)$, $(2,1)$, $(5/2,2)$, $(3,1)$, $(4,1)$, $(5,21)$, $(7,8)$, $(8,1)$, $(9,7)$, $(19/2,2)$, $(10,4)$, $(31/3,3)$, $(11,15)$, $(34/3,9)$, $(23/2,6)$, $(35/3,3)$, $(12,3)$, $(25/2,2)$, $(13,7)$, $(14,4)$, $(29/2,6)$, $(15,3)$, $(46/3,3)$, $(16,12)$, $(33/2,4)$, $(50/3,3)$, $(17,17)$, $(52/3,3)$, $(35/2,12)$, $(18,13)$, $(37/2,2)$, $(19,6)$, $(20,5)$, $(21,5)$, $(43/2,2)$, $(22,1)$, $(23,1)$
$[8,6]\mathbb{1}$	c	$(1,2)$, $(2,1)$, $(5/2,2)$, $(3,1)$, $(4,1)$, $(5,21)$, $(8,1)$, $(9,1)$, $(19/2,2)$, $(10,1)$, $(11,2)$

We can immediately see that in this case there is much less structure to the slopes. In particular, they do not appear to be unions of arithmetic progressions.

References

[AIPa] F. Andreatta, A. Iovita, and V. Pilloni. The adic, cuspidal, Hilbert eigenvarieties. Preprint.

[AIPb] F. Andreatta, A. Iovita, and V. Pilloni. Le halo spectral. Preprint.

[AIPc] F. Andreatta, A. Iovita, and V. Pilloni. On overconvergent Hilbert modular cuspforms. Preprint.

[AS97] A. Ash and G. Stevens. p-adic deformations of cohomology classes of subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbf{Z})$. *Collect. Math.*, 48(1-2):1–30, 1997. Journées Arithmétiques (Barcelona, 1995).

[BC05] K. Buzzard and F. Calegari. Slopes of overconvergent 2-adic modular forms. *Compos. Math.*, 141(3):591–604, 2005.

[BCP97] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, and C. Playoust. The Magma algebra system. I. The user language. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 24(3-4):235–265, 1997. Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993).

[Bel12] J. Bellaïche. Critical p-adic L-functions. *Invent. Math.*, 189(1):1–60, 2012.

[BGar] K. Buzzard and T. Gee. Slopes of modular forms. *Proceedings of the 2014 Simons symposium on the trace formula*, (to appear).

[BGR84] S. Bosch, U. Güntzer, and R. Remmert. *Non-Archimedean Analysis: A Systematic Approach to Rigid Analytic Geometry*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1984.

[BK05] K. Buzzard and L. J. P. Kilford. The 2-adic eigencurve at the boundary of weight space. *Compos. Math.*, 141(3):605–619, 2005.

[BP16] J. Bergdall and R. Pollack. Slopes of modular forms and the ghost conjecture. *ArXiv e-prints*, July 2016.

[BPar] J. Bergdall and R. Pollack. Arithmetic properties of Fredholm series for p-adic modular forms. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, (to appear).

[Bra13] R. Brasca. p-adic modular forms of non-integral weight over Shimura curves. *Compos. Math.*, 149(1):32–62, 2013.

[Buz04] K. Buzzard. On p-adic families of automorphic forms. In *Modular curves and abelian varieties*, volume 224 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 23–44. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004.

[Buz05] K. Buzzard. Questions about slopes of modular forms. *Astérisque*, (298):1–15, 2005. Automorphic forms. I.

[Buz07] K. Buzzard. Eigenvarieties. In *L-functions and Galois representations*, volume 320 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 59–120. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007.

[BW16] D. Barrera Salazar and C. Williams. P-adic L-functions for GL(2). *ArXiv e-prints*, February 2016.

[CE98] R. Coleman and B. Edixhoven. On the semi-simplicity of the U_p-operator on modular forms. *Math. Ann.*, 310(1):119–127, 1998.

[Che05] G. Chenevier. Une correspondance de Jacquet-Langlands p-adique. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 126(1):161–194, 01 2005.

[CM98] R. Coleman and B. Mazur. The eigencurve. In *Galois representations in arithmetic algebraic geometry (Durham, 1996)*, volume 254 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 1–113. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[Con99] B. Conrad. Irreducible components of rigid spaces. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 49(2):473–541, 1999.

[DV13] L. Dembélé and J. Voight. Explicit methods for Hilbert modular forms. In *Elliptic curves, Hilbert modular forms and Galois deformations*, Adv. Courses Math. CRM Barcelona, pages 135–198. Birkhäuser/Springer, Basel, 2013.

[GM92] F. Gouvêa and B. Mazur. Families of modular eigenforms. *Math. Comp.*, 58(198):793–805, 1992.

[Han] D. Hansen. Universal eigenvarieties, trianguline galois representations, and p-adic Langlands functoriality. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*. To appear.

[Hid88] H. Hida. On p-adic Hecke algebras for GL_2 over totally real fields. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 128(2):295–384, 1988.

[Hid00] H. Hida. *Geometric modular forms and elliptic curves*. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2000.

[Hid04] H. Hida. *p-adic automorphic forms on Shimura varieties*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004.

[HIS10] M. Harris, A. Iovita, and G. Stevens. The Jacquet-Langlands correspondence via l-adic uniformization. 2010.

[JN16] C. Johansson and J. Newton. Extended eigenvarieties for overconvergent cohomology, 2016.

[Kat73] N. M. Katz. p-adic properties of modular schemes and modular forms. In *Modular functions of one variable, III (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972)*, pages 69–190. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 350. Springer, Berlin, 1973.

[KV10] Markus Kirschmer and John Voight. Algorithmic enumeration of ideal classes for quaternion orders. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 39(5):1714–1747, 2010.

[Lud14] J. Ludwig. p-adic functoriality for inner forms of unitary groups in three variables. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 21(1):141–148, 2014.

[LWX] R. Liu, D. Wan, and L. Xiao. Eigencurve over the boundary of the weight space. To appear.

[New13] J. Newton. Completed cohomology of Shimura curves and a p-adic Jacquet-Langlands correspondence. *Math. Ann.*, 355(2):729–763, 2013.

[PX14] J. Pottharst and L. Xiao. On the parity conjecture in finite-slope families. *ArXiv e-prints*, October 2014.

[Ram09] N. Ramsey. The overconvergent Shimura lifting. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (2):193–220, 2009.

[Roe14] D. Roe. The 3-adic eigencurve at the boundary of weight space. *Int. J. Number Theory*, 10(7):1791–1806, 2014.

[Sag16] The Sage Developers. *SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 7.2)*, 2016. `http://www.sagemath.org`.

[Ser73] J.P. Serre. Formes modulaires et fonctions zêta p-adiques. In *Modular functions of one variable, III (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, 1972)*, pages 191–268. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 350. Springer, Berlin, 1973.

[SW93] T. R. Shemanske and L. H. Walling. Twists of Hilbert modular forms. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 338(1):pp. 375–403, 1993.

[TX13] Y. Tian and L. Xiao. p-adic Cohomology and classicality of overconvergent Hilbert modular forms. *ArXiv e-prints*, August 2013.

[Urb11] E. Urban. Eigenvarieties for reductive groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 174(3):1685–1784, 2011.

[WXZ14] D. Wan, L. Xiao, and J. Zhang. Slopes of eigencurves over boundary disks. *ArXiv e-prints*, July 2014.